PART A: Departmental Requirements & Regulations

The mission of the graduate program in the Department of Psychology is to produce professional scholars whose preparation will enable them to contribute significantly to the advancement of scientific psychological knowledge as well as effectively teach undergraduate and graduate students about the science of psychology. Some of these scholars may, in addition, be prepared to deliver psychological services.

The graduate program in Psychology is a PhD program. Although students can obtain an MA degree over the course of the program, and students from other graduate programs in the Graduate College at the University of Iowa can apply to and participate in an MA program in Psychology, the Department of Psychology does not admit students to the Graduate College whose objective is a terminal MA degree.

The PhD Program

The PhD program in Psychology is organized according to six specific training areas: Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience, Clinical Psychology, Cognition and Perception, Developmental Science, Health Psychology, and Social Psychology. Each area has its own set of requirements, which are described in Part B of this handbook. Part A of this handbook describes those components of the program that are common across training areas. Part B describes requirements that are specific to each training area.

1. AREAS & ADVISORS

a. Training Area: Students are admitted to a training area at the time that they are accepted into the PhD program. Each training area has its own set of program requirements, which are described in detail in Part B of this handbook. Changing areas may be done subject to the availability of resources, the approval of the destination training area, and the approval of the department Chairperson.

b. Advisor: The PhD program is founded on the principle of learning-by-doing under the direct guidance of an established scholar. A student’s advisor (a member of the department faculty who has agreed to advise and sponsor the student) has both the immediate and the ultimate responsibility for helping the student to develop intellectually and professionally. Each student must therefore have an advisor at all times. Exceptions to this include when an advisor has not been selected prior to beginning graduate work or when an advisor leaves the department. In these cases, the coordinator of the student’s training area becomes the student’s de facto advisor. Then in consultation with that person and others, the student must secure an official advisor within a reasonable amount of time, which should be a week or two, but no longer than a month. A student may change advisors at any time.

c. Research Advisory Committee: Each student must establish a Research Advisory Committee (RAC) sometime before the end of the first semester of the program. (Note: Some training areas require that RACs be established early in the semester. See Part B of this handbook for details.) The RAC consists of the advisor and two other members of the department faculty. The role of this committee is to provide advice and feedback to the student regarding his or her research and progress within the program. Details regarding how and when students form and interact with their RACs, and when they are dissolved to be replaced by other
advisory committees (e.g., comprehensive exam and/or PhD committees) differ across training areas and are discussed in Part B of this handbook.

2. RESEARCH REQUIREMENTS

Research experience is the most important component of graduate training in this program. Students are expected to be actively engaged in research at all times. Research performance and promise are the primary criteria in evaluating progress toward the PhD.

a. First-year Project and Report: Students are required to complete a first-year research project, and submit a written report of that project. Details regarding the timing and the nature of the report vary across training areas and are discussed in Part B of this handbook. Quality of the first-year project and report are components of the second-year review process.

b. Graduate Research Symposium: Early in the Spring semester of the second year in the program, students will present a conference-style talk (usually fifteen minutes plus time for questions) describing their research to the assembled department at the annual Graduate Research Symposium. This talk provides experience with communicating research results as well as an opportunity for students and faculty to learn about research going on throughout the department. Performance at the Graduate Research Symposium is a component of the second-year review process.

c. Comprehensive Exam: Following successful completion of the second-year review, each student must take a comprehensive exam. The details of the exam and its timing differ across training areas, and are discussed in Part B of this handbook.

d. PhD Committee: Each student must form a PhD committee that will oversee the completion of the dissertation. Details regarding the composition of this committee, when it is formed, and its role in the process vary across training areas and are discussed in Part B of this handbook.

e. Prospectus: Some training areas require a written prospectus and oral defense. For other areas, the procedure from comps to dissertation is more fluid. See part B of this handbook for details.

f. Dissertation: Each student will produce a written dissertation. Details regarding the format of the dissertation document are discussed in Part B of this handbook.

g. PhD orals: A formal request for the PhD final exam must be submitted through the training-area coordinator and the department Chairperson to the Graduate College at least three weeks in advance of the exam. The student is responsible for getting a copy of the dissertation to the PhD Committee members at least two weeks in advance of the exam. The exam is an oral defense of the dissertation that includes critical questions about the purpose, method, and results presented in the dissertation and intense questioning on areas of knowledge consistent with the context of the dissertation. The exam is unsatisfactory if two committee members rate it to be so. In this case, the exam may be repeated once on the recommendation of the committee and the training-area faculty.

3. COURSE and CREDIT REQUIREMENTS

Coursework is intended to provide students with some of the background and skills that they will need to be effective in their professional lives. For graduate work, passing a course entails receiving a grade of B- or better.

Course curricula have been designed by each of the training areas to accommodate the specific goals of students within those areas. They include required training in statistical and other quantitative methods, core-area content, and further enrichment through breadth and/or depth. Details regarding course requirements differ across training areas and are therefore discussed in Part B of this handbook.
Required coursework is only one means by which students acquire necessary background content and skills. Students are expected to actively seek out necessary content and training through independent reading, individual consultation with appropriate faculty, supplemental coursework and workshops, and related activities. Students should seek guidance from their advisors and committees regarding these opportunities, but it is ultimately each student’s responsibility to continually seek out and acquire necessary and helpful content and skills.

Although most specific course requirements are defined by training areas, the following requirements apply to all students in the program.

a. Training in the responsible conduct of research: There are many aspects of conducting research responsibly. In recognition of this, major granting agencies (e.g., NIH) have instituted a requirement of formal training in this topic, and the Graduate College at the University of Iowa has implemented a specific requirement in answer to that requirement. All students who are engaged in research in the department must complete a 1 semester-hour (s.h.) course on this topic before the end of their first year in the program. The department has integrated this training into its new-student orientation program most of which occurs just before the start of classes of the fall semester their first year. New students must register for PSY:7090/031:279 (1 s.h.) in the fall term of their first year. If a student fails to complete the training through the orientation process, he or she must enroll in and complete the Graduate College’s version of the course (GRAD:7270) starting no later than the spring semester of his or her first year in the department.

b. Total credits: Each student must complete a minimum of 72 s.h. of graduate work to receive a PhD. Of those, at least 39 s.h. must be earned while registered in the University of Iowa Graduate College. Many of the 72 s.h. will be completed through registered research credits (e.g., PSY:7110/031:297 and PSY:7130/031:296). A maximum of 16 semester hours of PSY:7130/031:296, PhD dissertation credit, can be counted toward the 72 s.h. requirement. Be sure that you register for the correct level of research credit, contingent on your stage in the program. If you are uncertain of what specific course number you should register for in a given semester, consult with your advisor or area coordinator.

c. Residency and enrollment requirements: After completing 21 semester hours of graduate credit, a minimum of 18 semester hours must be taken either as (1) a full-time student (minimum of 9 semester hours) in each of two semesters, or (2) enrollment for a minimum of 6 semester hours in each of three semesters. These requirements represent residency requirements that are put forward from the Graduate College. It would be extremely unusual for a student in the psychology program to have trouble meeting them, given the structure of our program, but it is important to be aware of them.

d. Final term enrollment: Each student must register for GRAD:6003/000:003 Doctoral Final Registration in the term during which he or she defends his or her dissertation. Registration in GRAD:6003/000:003 may be repeated if the student does not actually finish during the intended semester.

e. Graduate College rules and regulations: We have attempted to summarize Graduate College requirements, as they are most directly relevant to students in our program. Students are advised, however, to consult the Graduate College Manual of Rules and Regulations for details of these and other details at the Graduate College level: http://www.grad.uiowa.edu/graduate-college-manual.

4. TEACHING

It is important for every PhD student to become an effective teacher, whether or not teaching is expected to be an explicit part of his or her professional career.

Students are expected to participate in some form of teaching activity. Examples of such activities include, but are not limited to, teaching assistantships, teaching a course during
summer or evening sessions and supervising undergraduates in the lab. Students are encouraged to take advantage of the resources provided by the Center for Teaching, especially in the early stages of their teaching experience.

5. ACADEMIC STANDING

There are multiple evaluation procedures built into the PhD program. These are designed to provide students with appropriate and timely feedback regarding progress through the program. In addition to these formal mechanisms, students should be in regular communication with their advisors and committees. It is a student’s responsibility to solicit specific feedback if he/she has questions regarding standing or progress within the program.

a. Second-year review: Sometime before the end of the Spring semester the progress of all second-year students will be reviewed and evaluated. This review process will take into account course work, the first-year project and report and other research activity, performance at the Graduate Research Symposium, and other aspects of program participation (e.g., attendance at departmental colloquia and brown bags) and performance in assistantships. This review occurs at the level of the training area. Following this review, each second-year student will either (1) be invited to continue onto the next stage of the program, (2) be terminated from the program, or (3) be placed on departmental probation. In the event of termination or departmental probation, the recommendation from the training area will be considered by the Committee for Graduate Studies and finalized only with the approval of the departmental faculty and departmental Chairperson.

Students who successfully pass the second-year review are eligible at that point to receive an MA degree if they wish to file for it. An MA is not necessary for later receiving the PhD.

A student who is terminated from the PhD program at the point of the second-year review may be eligible to receive a terminal MA degree, but this is not guaranteed. The training-area faculty will make a recommendation regarding this and submit it for approval to CGS and the departmental Chairperson.

A student who is placed on departmental probation following the second-year review will receive a written account of what deficiencies exist and what goals must be met by when to have the departmental-probation status removed.

b. Comprehensive exam evaluation: Timely, feedback regarding the outcome of a student’s comprehensive examination will be provided following the exam. In the event that an outcome includes ‘reservations’, the reasons for the reservations and what the student must do by when to remove the reservations will be included in the written feedback. Students who do not pass the comprehensive exam may have the opportunity to retake it once, pending recommendation from the training area and approval by CGS and the departmental Chairperson.

c. Annual review letters: Training-area faculty will evaluate the progress of each student in their area at the end of each academic year. Students will receive written summaries of these evaluations from area coordinators sometime in the early summer following the academic year. In the event that the evaluation includes concerns about the student’s progress, those concerns and what the student needs to do by when to remove them will be specified in that written report, as will the consequences of failing to remove them.

d. GPA: To be in good standing in the program, a student must at all times maintain a cumulative department and Graduate College grade point average of at least 3.0. If, after completing 9 s.h. of graded (A,B,C,D,F) graduate work at the University of Iowa, a student’s cumulative GPA drops below 3.0, the student will be placed on probation through the Graduate College. Details regarding academic standing, probation, and dismissal at the level of the Graduate College are provided in the college’s Manual of Rules and Regulation:
Participation: To be in good standing, a student must be a regular participant in the research, teaching, and service activities of the department (as described in Part C: Guide to Student Life).

Reasonable progress: To be in good standing, a student must maintain reasonable progress toward completion of the PhD. A student who adheres to the time line described in this handbook is automatically considered to be making reasonable progress. Beyond that, reasonable progress will be judged by the student's advisor, training area, and if necessary, CGS and the departmental Chairperson.

Appropriate professional conduct: To be in good standing, a student must conform to reasonable standards of academic and professional conduct in all activities related to teaching, research, and service functions of the department and University. This includes performance in research assistantships and teaching assistantships. Relevant standards include Chapter 15 of the University of Iowa's University Operations Manual and "Professional Conduct and Academic Responsibility" and "Ethical Principles of Psychologists" of the APA.

6. PROCEDURES

Petitions: Requests for waiver or deferment of a requirement may be submitted in writing to the coordinator of the student's training area, giving the justification for the request. Training areas act on requests pertaining to their own rules. In a case that the petition pertains to a general requirement that is described in Part A of this Handbook (i.e., a general program requirement), the petition will be considered by CGS and, if necessary, the departmental Chairperson.

Appeals and Grievances: The outcome of a decision made by a faculty member or a faculty committee, can be appealed by communicating through the following network. Normally, the matter should be discussed first with the coordinator of the student’s training area, followed if necessary with the Coordinator of Graduate Studies and, if further necessary, the department Chairperson. If the student's grievances cannot be resolved through discussion, a written request for a review of the action should be sent to the Chairperson for presentation to the faculty. The letter should outline the student's grievances in reasonable detail. The Chairperson may appoint, in consultation with the student, a committee of three faculty and two graduate students to investigate the situation. The committee will provide an evaluation of the situation and make recommendations to the Chairperson. The Chairperson shall bring the student's appeal and the reviewing committee's recommendation to the faculty for reconsideration. If the student's grievances involve the Chairperson, the same procedures will be followed with a member of the Faculty Advisory Committee who is not involved in the grievance replacing the Chairperson in the above sequence. If, after the preceding steps have been taken, the student still feels there has been unfairness or procedural irregularity, the student may request a review by the Graduate College, recognizing that questions involving judgment of performance will not be reviewed beyond the departmental level.

Departmental probation and dismissal: If, at any time, a student is determined not to be in good standing by the student's training area or by the Committee on Graduate Studies, the procedures of the Graduate College regarding probation and dismissal will be applied (see Section IV, paragraph E of the Manual of Rules and Regulations of the Graduate College: http://www.grad.uiowa.edu/manual-part-1-section-iv-academic-standing-probation-and-dismissal ).
d. **Notification:** Any action or evaluation affecting a student should be promptly and clearly communicated to both the student and the Coordinator of Graduate Studies and described in a written report for inclusion in the student's file.

e. **Feedback:** A student may request to be reviewed by his or her training area at any time. Such a review should provide frank and specific feedback regarding the student's performance and prospects as determined by the criteria that will be applied in the student's next official evaluation.

**The MA Program**

The graduate program in Psychology is primarily a PhD program. The Psychology Department does not admit students who have a terminal Master's degree in Psychology as their objective into the Graduate College, nor does completion of the MA degree program imply eligibility to enter the PhD program. However, students from other programs in the University may seek an MA in Psychology as a complement to other graduate or professional training. This section describes the guidelines for that program.

1. **GENERAL**

a. **Admission:** A graduate student in good standing in any graduate or professional program in the University may apply to be a candidate for an MA in Psychology. The Committee on Graduate Studies may admit such a student to the MA program if the student is endorsed by one of the training areas. The endorsing training area will have the responsibility for monitoring and evaluating the student's progress toward the MA.

b. **Advisor:** Each student must have an advisor (a member of the department faculty who has agreed to advise and sponsor the student) at all times.

c. **Academic standing:** To be in good standing, a student must maintain a cumulative department and Graduate College grade point average of at least 3.0, must receive generally good or excellent evaluations of performance in individualized instruction registrations and, if applicable, assistantship appointments. In addition, the student must meet the 'reasonable progress' and 'appropriate professional conduct' requirements of the PhD program.

d. **Procedures:** The procedures of the PhD program regarding petitions, appeals and so on apply as well to students in the MA program.

2. **MA REQUIREMENTS**

a. **Specific course requirements:** Each student must satisfy a portion of the course requirements of the PhD program. The MA program, like the PhD program, is organized around training area. Each area specifies what courses are required for the MA through their training area. These are described in Part B of this handbook.

b. **MA without a thesis:** A student seeking an MA without thesis must successfully complete at least 37 semester hours of graduate work including 30 hours at the University of Iowa and at least 15 semester hours of courses and seminars (not including individualized instruction) in the Psychology Department or meet area-specific requirements for one of the training areas.

c. **MA with a thesis:** In lieu of part of the course work requirement, a student may produce and defend a Master's project and thesis. In this case, the student must successfully complete at least 30 semester hours of graduate work including 24 hours at the University of Iowa and at least 8 semester hours of courses or seminars in the Psychology Department or in meeting area-specific requirements for one of the training areas. In addition, the student must take at least 3 and no more than 8 semester hours of PSY:7120/031:295, *MA. Thesis Research*.

d. **Master's final exam:** The Master's examination is conducted by the student's Master's Committee, which must include at least three members of the University of Iowa graduate
faculty, at least a majority of whom are members of the Psychology faculty. For an MA without thesis, the nature of the exam will be determined by the student's training area but must be consistent with the letter and spirit of the Graduate College regulations concerning the exam. For an MA with thesis, the exam includes a critical analysis of the thesis and the oral defense of the thesis presented by the student.

**VISITING SCHOLARS**

Within the limits of available resources, the department will try to provide accommodations for graduate students in good standing from another university who wish to spend a period of time here in scholarly pursuits. This includes students participating in the CIC Traveling Scholar program as well as others under less formal arrangements. The only requirement is that there be a member of our faculty who is willing to be the student's sponsor.

For information regarding the CIC Traveling Scholar program, see Section III of the *Manual of Rules and Regulations* of the Graduate College.
PART B: TRAINING AREA SPECIFIC INFORMATION

The department of Psychology includes six primary training areas for purposes of graduate training: Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience, Clinical Psychology, Cognition and Perception, Developmental Science, Health Psychology, and Social Psychology. Students are admitted to the PhD program through one of these training areas. Although the PhD is defined according to a general set of requirements, each training area specifies the details of those requirements. This section describes the specifics of the PhD program for each of the six training areas.
BEHAVIORAL AND COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE TRAINING AREA

1. General focus
The Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience (BCN) area focuses on identifying the principles and mechanisms that govern human and animal behavior through the application of behavioral and biological research methodologies.

2. Faculty
The primary faculty in the BCN training area are Amy Poremba (area coordinator), Mark Blumberg, John Freeman, A. Kim Johnson, Ryan LaLumiere, Jason Radley, Daniel Tranel (joint with Neurology), Michelle Voss, and Edward Wasserman. The secondary faculty are Bob McMurray, Toby Mordkoff, John Spencer, and Shaun Vecera.

3. Research Requirements
   a. Research Advisory Committee and meetings: Before the end of the first semester here, each student, in consultation with his or her advisor, must select a Research Advisory Committee (RAC) consisting of the advisor and two other members of the department faculty. The membership of a RAC may be modified at any time. The committee must meet as a group with the student at least once a semester until the student has formed a dissertation committee.

   To verify that the student met with the committee, the student must provide documentation of the meeting to the departmental secretary by the first day of the last week of classes (a form is available for this purpose on the departmental internal website, but any reasonable documentation will suffice). This documentation must be initialed by the student and the committee members, verifying that an actual face-to-face meeting took place. If documentation is not provided, the student will receive a grade of Incomplete for his or her research registration; the Incomplete will be replaced by a letter grade as soon as a meeting occurs and documentation is provided. The role of this committee is to be a source of advice and feedback to the student and of informed input to any faculty group that evaluates the student.

   b. First-year project report: By the second Monday at 5pm following Thanksgiving break of their second year, students—including those who have entered with Master's degrees—must turn in a research progress report (in APA style) describing a research project that they have performed during their time in our graduate program. This report should include the scientific rationale of the project and the methods used as well as any results obtained to date, and what they mean. If data collection is not complete, the document must clearly indicate how much is left to do, and must specify a timetable for completion of the project. An electronic copy of this report should be sent to the Coordinator of Graduate Studies and all primary members of the area.

   A student's initial research project may be a part of his or her advisor's ongoing research program, selected to enable the student to demonstrate progress toward competency for independent scholarship and research with a minimum of impediments. The scope of the project should be such that a substantial portion of it can be completed in a year and a half. All projects must involve working with data and the data must be subjected to appropriate analysis.

   c. Graduate Research Symposium: At the beginning of the spring semester, each second-year student will present a conference-style talk (usually fifteen minutes plus time for questions) describing his or her research to the assembled department in the annual Graduate Research Symposium.
This talk provides students with experience communicating research results and it provides faculty and other students with the opportunity to get to know the students with whom they do not have frequent contact and their research.

d. **Comprehensive Examination and Prospectus:** The Comprehensive Examination and Prospectus are combined for students in the BCN training area. They are designed to assess the acquisition of both depth and breadth in the student's area of specialization within the general field of psychology, and the ability to use the research methods of the chosen discipline. The comprehensive examination is combined with the prospectus meeting in which there are written and oral portions. Students will write a document in direct relation to their proposed area of dissertation research consisting of 12-30 pages, double-spaced, exclusive of references and figures. The format of this document will traditionally contain background, rationale, hypotheses, general proposed methodologies and general expected outcomes. The written document may include preliminary data that has been collected or experiments that have been completed. These documents should be given to the chosen committee at least two weeks before the scheduled oral exam portion. The oral portion will consist of an approximately 15-20 minute student presentation regarding the background and questions to be answered with the proposed dissertation followed by questions from the committee pertaining to the student’s written document, general knowledge pertaining to their area of specialization, and discussion among participants regarding the proposed questions to be answered with their dissertation as well as any update on studies in progress or completed. The oral examination will be scheduled for two hours with completion status, feedback, and suggestions to the student occurring immediately, to within one week, of the oral examination. Both the written and oral components should be completed by the end of the fall semester, and no later than the end of the spring semester, third academic year. Exceptions to the combined Comprehensive Exam and Prospectus deadline by petition to the BCN area head, and voted on by a majority of BCN faculty members, may be granted on rare occasions. The prospectus must be completed at least the semester before the final dissertation defense. The combined comprehensive and prospectus committee will consist of 5 tenure-track faculty members chosen by the student, at least three of whom will be members of the UI psychology department and adhere to the Graduate College committee composition rules. The student will choose the committee chair. Exceptions to the committee composition policy may be requested by petition to the BCN area head, and voted on by a majority of BCN faculty members. Committee members may be replaced during the process before the final dissertation defense.

Students are required to meet with their committee at least once per calendar year after the completion of the combined Comprehensive Exam and Prospectus. These meetings will update the committee on the student’s progress and provide a formal opportunity for the student to consult with their committee members about their projects. This update should include any changes to their original written prospectus document/plan, and the proposed changes should be given to their committee prior to any prospectus update meeting. Students are encouraged to meet with the committee members individually as well throughout the dissertation process.

e. **Dissertation and defense:** The composition of the final thesis document is at the discretion of, and in consultation with, the prospectus committee and normally consists of a background chapter or section, followed by chapters centering on an experiment, set of experiments, manuscript, or a published paper, followed by an overall discussion chapter or section. The completed written thesis must be given to committee members two weeks in advance of a scheduled oral dissertation defense consisting of two parts, typically a 45 min-1 hour public talk followed by questions from the public and committee which may be followed by a closed part which includes just the student and the PhD committee. The department will announce the defense location and time to allow attendance by the public. The exam is unsatisfactory if two committee members rate it to be so. In this case, the exam may be
repeated once on the recommendation of the Dissertation Committee.

4. Course Requirements

Course work is intended to provide students with a foundation of background and skills that they need to be effective in their professional lives. For graduate work, passing a course entails receiving a grade of B- or better. See Part A of this handbook for information regarding requirements for training in the responsible conduct of research, and overall credit and course-load requirements. The following information concerns requirements that are specific to students in the BCN training area.

a. Minimum course requirements: All students in the BCN training area must take the following set of courses:

- PSY:7090/031:279 Principles of Scholarly Integrity (1 s.h.)
- PSY:5050/031:245 Quantitative Methods in Psychology (4 s.h.)
- PSY:5203/031:255 Fundamental Neurobiology (4 s.h.)
- PSY:5212/031:242 Foundations in Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience (4 s.h.)
- 2 electives (chosen in consultation with RAC) (6-8 s.h.)

b. Commonly taken electives: In addition, students in the BCN often choose to take some subset of the following set of electives. Which, if any, of these courses a student should take will be decided in consultation with the student’s advisor and RAC:

- PSY:6230/031:235 Foundations of Learning, Memory & Cognition (3 s.h.)
- PSY:5055/031:247 Mixed-Effects Modeling in Psychology (4 s.h.)
- PSY:7210/031:338 Advanced Topics in BCN (3 s.h.)
- PSY:6370/031:278 Principles of Neuropsychology (3 s.h.)
- PSY:7020/031:335 Seminar in Cognitive Neuroscience (3 s.h.)
- NSCI:4353/132:181 Neurophysiology (3-4 s.h.)
- NSCI:6240/132:240 Topics in Cognitive Neuroscience (3 s.h.)
- NSCI:4753/132:184 Developmental Neurobiology (3 s.h.)

c. Colloquia: In addition to the coursework described in this section and in Part A, students are expected to seek broad exposure to research in psychology through regular attendance at Departmental colloquia, including those in areas of study outside the boundaries of the BCN area.

d. Exceptions to these requirements: Petitions to request a waiver or replacement course may be submitted in writing to the BCN area coordinator giving the justification for the request. The area will vote and a simple majority will carry the vote.

5. Other

a. Second-year review: Early in the spring semester, but after the Graduate Research Symposium, the BCN faculty will hold a meeting devoted to a careful evaluation of the record of each second-year student and decide whether or not the student should continue in the PhD program. If any BCN graduate student is considered to not have met the requirements of the first-year project paper and talk, maintained an appropriate graduate GPA or underperformed in their research and/or assistantship endeavors, the training area will recommend that the student be placed on departmental probation or terminated from the program. To be finalized, this recommendation must be approved by the faculty as a whole and departmental Chairperson.
The aim of this evaluation is the timely identification of students who would likely be unable to meet our expectations at later stages of graduate training. Students who pass this evaluation will be assured of our confidence in their ability to successfully complete the program.

b. Masters degree: Students who have passed the second-year review and are continuing on to completion of the PhD are eligible to receive an MA degree, though it is not necessary for the PhD. A student who is terminated from the PhD program at the time of the second-year review (or any other time) may transfer to the MA program and remain in that program through the end of the subsequent term (semester or summer session) if necessary in order to satisfy the requirements for a Master's degree. Master’s degree requirements through the BCN training area include the minimum number of hours and their distribution required by the Graduate College, the general requirements described in Part A of this handbook, and the minimum coursework required by the BCN area as specified above.
CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY TRAINING AREA

1. General focus
The clinical training program, fully accredited by the American Psychological Association and the Psychological Clinical Science Accreditation System, strongly emphasizes a scientific or clinical science approach to the study of mental and physical health. The curriculum focuses on developing scholarly understanding of clinical phenomena and acquiring research skills necessary for the systematic investigation of such phenomena. Thus, it is designed for students with a strong interest in pursuing a career in clinical research. Believing that students must become familiar with clinical material and competent in the application of clinical skills in order to pursue clinical research, the program closely integrates practicum experience in the Carl E. Seashore Psychology Clinic, at the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics and the VA Medical Center with coursework and supervised research experience.

2. Faculty
The primary faculty in the Clinical training area are Michael O’Hara (area coordinator / Director of Clinical Training; DCT), Alan Christensen, Erika Lawrence, Susan Lutgendorf, James Marchman (Director of the Seashore Clinic), Kristian Markon, Molly Nikolas, Daniel Tranel, and Teresa Treat. Secondary faculty members include Grazyna Kochanska, Ryan LaLumiere, and Michelle Voss. In addition, the following faculty members with clinical interests have joint or adjunct appointments in the department: Natalie Denburg (joint with Neurology), Jane Paulsen and Scott Stuart (joint with Psychiatry) and Gregory Gullickson (Seashore Clinic supervisor).

3. Research Requirements
   a. Research Advisory Committee and meetings: In consultation with his or her advisor, new students must establish a Research Advisory Committee (RAC) consisting of the student’s advisor and two other faculty members sometime within the first semester in the program. This committee will provide feedback and guidance regarding the student’s research and other training, including input on the student’s first-year project (see below). Students must meet with the RAC at least once each semester through the spring semester of the second year in the program.

   b. First-year project and report: It is expected that students will have pursued at least one study — known as the “First-year Project” — in which they are the primary contributor with the assistance of their advisor. A report describing this project is due the Monday after Thanksgiving to the student’s RAC and the Clinical area coordinator. The report should describe the completed research — or progress to date — in full* and outline any further work needed to complete the study other than simply increasing the sample size.

   *That is, in complete APA format, with cover page, abstract, theoretical and empirical background, introduction, methods, results, discussion, references, and figures/tables as appropriate to the study.

   c. Graduate Research Symposium: Clinical area students must present their first-year project at the departmental Graduate Research Symposium, which is held early in the spring semester of their second year.

   d. Comprehensive examination: The Clinical comprehensive examination is a review paper on a topic of significant interest to a broad audience of clinical psychologists of a scope that is worthy of publication as a stand-alone article in a journal such as Psychological Bulletin, Clinical Psychology Review, or a specialty journal that publishes reviews. Students select their topic by the fall of their 3rd year; submit an initial, complete draft of their paper during the spring semester, and submit their final paper toward the end of the spring semester. A
committee of three faculty members, including at least two in the clinical area, approves the 
topic and reviews the first complete draft; the final paper is evaluated by a full 
Comprehensive Examination Committee of five faculty members, including at least three 
from the clinical area. Additional details and submission deadline dates are contained in 
Appendix A: The Comprehensive Examination Review Paper in Clinical Psychology: 
Description and Timeline (page 20).

e. Dissertation and dissertation prospectus: The PhD prospectus is a proposal that describes 
the student’s intended dissertation project. It typically includes the background and rationale 
for the project, the hypotheses to be tested, design of the project, data analyses that will be 
performed, and anticipated pattern of results. It is often advisable for the prospectus to 
include pilot data, and research performed before the prospectus is approved may be included 
in the prospectus and the dissertation. However, the prospectus must be approved before the 
majority of the dissertation research has been completed, and the PhD committee is under no 
obligation to agree to the inclusion of any previously completed work. Students are 
responsible for their own rate of progress on the dissertation, so there is no deadline for the 
prospectus. However, approval of the prospectus is typically expected sometime between the 
end of the student’s third and fourth years in the program. Students must have an approved 
prospectus before applying for internship. The time required to complete a dissertation after 
approval of the prospectus varies, but students should typically plan for at least one year 
between prospectus approval and completion of the dissertation.

f. Dissertation committee: The dissertation committee consists of at least five members of the 
University of Iowa graduate faculty, including at least four from the department and at least 
one from outside the department. At least three members of the committee must hold an 
appointment of at least 40% in the Psychology Department. The chair of the committee must 
be a member of the Psychology Department. The PhD committee is responsible for 
evaluating the student’s prospectus, for providing advice while the student conducts the 
dissertation research, and for evaluating the dissertation at the final examination. The 
committee is initially selected by the student, in consultation with his or her advisor, but final 
approval occurs just prior to the final examination and rests with the Dean of the Graduate 
College (final approval typically occurs automatically when the student files a request to hold 
the final examination). At any time prior to final approval, the student may, in consultation 
with his or her advisor, change the membership of the PhD Committee.

A PhD committee typically evaluates a prospectus in the context of a Prospectus Meeting, 
which is attended by the student and ordinarily by all members of the PhD Committee. A 
prospectus will be considered approved when all or all but one of the committee members 
have approved it. Committee members will indicate their approval or disapproval by 
initialing a departmental form, which will be filed with the Departmental Secretary. 
Approval may require multiple rounds of revisions and multiple meetings with the 
committee.

g. Dissertation defense: A formal request for the PhD final exam must be submitted through 
the training area coordinator and the department Chairperson to the Graduate College at least 
three weeks in advance of the exam. The student is responsible for getting a copy of the 
dissertation to the Committee members at least two weeks in advance of the exam. The 
exam is an oral defense of the dissertation that includes critical questions about the purpose, 
method, and results presented in the dissertation and intense questioning on areas of 
knowledge consistent with the context of the dissertation. The exam is unsatisfactory if two 
Committee members rate it to be so. In this case, the exam may be repeated once on the 
recommendation of the Committee and approval of the full faculty.

h. Clinical internship: The accreditation criteria require that a one-year (or two-year half-time) 
clinical internship precede the awarding of the doctoral degree. Students in the clinical 
program must complete all academic course work and have an approved prospectus by
October 15 of the year in which they apply for internship in order to be certified as eligible for internship. Further, students are strongly encouraged to complete their dissertation before beginning their internship if at all possible. However, the faculty recognizes that in some instances the final stages in the preparation of the dissertation must be completed during or after the internship. In any event, only when the Ph.D. Final Examination has been completed satisfactorily and when the department has received from the internship agency a letter certifying the successful completion of the internship will the department recommend the student for the award of the Ph.D. degree with a clinical subtrack designation on the official University transcript.

Students are strongly encouraged to enter APA-approved internship programs. In some cases, circumstances may arise that lead students to enter non-APA approved sites. In these cases, students are advised that completing a non-APA approved internship may limit future options for employment, licensure, or certification. Application to (and later entrance into) a non-APA approved internship must be approved by the clinical-area faculty if a student wishes to have a clinical subtrack designation on his or her transcript.

A student in the clinical program who successfully completes all academic course requirements, prepares and satisfactorily defends the doctoral dissertation, and petitions to receive the Ph.D. degree without first completing the clinical internship, will have no clinical subtrack designation on the official transcript.

4. Course Requirements

Course work is intended to provide students with a foundation of background and skills that they need to be effective in their professional lives. For graduate work, passing a course entails receiving a grade of B- or better. See Part A of this handbook for information regarding requirements for training in the responsible conduct of research, and overall credit and course-load requirements. The following information concerns requirements that are specific to students in the Clinical training area.

a. Content course requirements: In their first year, students in Clinical take PSY:7310/031:360 Seminar: Orientation to Clinical Research each semester for 0-1 credit hours, depending on other courses they are taking. In addition, students take two or three of the three courses listed below, depending on which are offered that year. They take the remaining course in their 2nd year.

- PSY:5330/031:263 Principles of Psychological Assessment (offered every year)
- PSY:5320/031:260 Psychopathology (offered every year)
- PSY:6340/031:266 Psychological Therapies (offered alternating years)

Additionally, to meet requirements for APA Accreditation, students are required to take at least one course in each of the following areas: Ethics, History and Systems of Psychology, Cognitive bases of behavior, Affective bases of behavior, Social bases of behavior, Biological bases of behavior, and Life Span Development (See Appendix B: Clinical Psychology Course Requirements and Electives, p. 24 and Appendix C: Requirements for University of Iowa Ph.D. in Clinical Psychology p. 25).

b. Statistics: Students in the clinical area are required to establish competence in statistics equivalent to that obtained by successful completion of PSY:5050/031:245 Quantitative Methods in Psychology or BIOS:5110/171:161 Introduction to Biostatistics, and PSQF:6244/07P:244 Regression & Correlation or BIOS:5120/171:162 Design & Analysis of Biomedical Studies or PSY:5055/031:247 Mixed-Effects Modeling in Psychology; a third advanced course in an area relevant to the student’s research goals and interests (e.g., Structural Equation Modeling, Meta-analysis, Longitudinal Data Analysis) is strongly
recommended. Students who wish to deviate from this sequence must receive the approval of the clinical-area faculty.

c. **Clinical Practicum:** Each student in the clinical program must, prior to entering an Internship, develop an appropriate level of competence in clinical skills. Under normal circumstances, students enroll in the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fall Term</th>
<th>Spring Term</th>
<th>Summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 1</strong></td>
<td>31:461 0-1 sh</td>
<td>31:462 1-2 sh</td>
<td>31:462 1-3† sh or no registration*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 2</strong></td>
<td>31:462 2 sh</td>
<td>31:463 2 sh</td>
<td>31:463 1-3† sh or no registration*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 3</strong></td>
<td>31:463 1-2 sh</td>
<td>31:463 1-2 sh</td>
<td>31:463 1-3† sh or no registration*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 4</strong></td>
<td>31:463 1-2 sh</td>
<td>31:463 1-2 sh</td>
<td>31:465 1-3† sh or no registration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†students on fellowship; *students not on fellowship

These courses are PSY:7350/031:461 Introductory Practicum, PSY:7355/031:462 Assessment Practicum, PSY:7360/031:463 Therapy Practicum, and PSY:7370/031:465 Supervision and Consultation Practicum. PSY:7350/031:461 consists of attending weekly Clinic Rounds and participating in seminars for developing skills in clinical interviewing and testing conducted by the Director or Assistant Director of the Seashore Clinic and students in the Supervision and Consultation Practicum. Advanced students in the Supervision and Consultation Practicum (PSY:7370/031:465) work with first year students throughout the first year to help them develop basic fluency in the administration of standardized psychological instruments. This work is synchronized with enrollment in PSY:5330/031:263 during the second semester. Students typically begin doing psychological assessments in January of their first year.

Advanced students (ordinarily Year 4 or beyond) may register for practicum outside the Seashore Clinic. Such experiences can be valuable in exposing the student to different settings, patient populations, and supervisors, but may also slow student progress in other aspects of the program, so all external practicum arrangements must be approved by the students’ advisor, DCT and Clinic Director. This is documented using the *External Clinical Practicum Guidelines and Agreement Form*, available on the internal departmental website: [http://www.psychology.uiowa.edu/internal](http://www.psychology.uiowa.edu/internal).

Practicum hours in the Seashore Clinic may be reduced in Year 4 if the student is engaged in practicum experiences in other settings as a result of the student's research, employment, or registration for practicum credit outside the Department. Permission of the DCT and Clinic Director is required for this reduction.

Registration for practicum in the Seashore Clinic in Year 5 is highly variable. Students who have reached a suitable level of clinical competence (as judged by the clinical-area faculty) need not register if they are engaged in practicum experience outside the Seashore Clinic or should register for at least 1 s.h. if they are not engaged in any other practicum experience. Such decisions are in consultation with the DCT and Clinic Director.

All students are required to participate in the Supervision and Consultation Practicum (PSY:7370/031:465) for one full year. This practicum includes weekly meetings with the Clinic Director, some reading, and intensive teaching and supervision of first-year students in the basics of psychological assessment.

d. **Typical course of study:** The following is a typical course of study leading to the Ph.D. in clinical psychology. This is meant to be illustrative only—specific details are determined individually by students in consultation with their research advisors and the DCT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fall Semester</th>
<th>Spring Semester</th>
<th>Summer Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1st year</strong></td>
<td>4sh Quantitative Methods</td>
<td>3/4sh 31:247/171:162/7P:244*</td>
<td>0-3sh Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12 sh req.)</td>
<td>3sh Psychopathology</td>
<td>4sh Principles of Psych Assess</td>
<td>Practicum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5. Other

**a. Masters degree:** Students who have passed the second-year review are eligible to receive an MA degree, though it is not necessary for the PhD. A student who is terminated from the PhD program at the time of the second-year review (or any other time) may transfer to the MA program and remain in that program through the end of the subsequent term (semester or summer session) if necessary in order to satisfy the requirements for a Master's degree. Master’s degree requirements through the Clinical training area include the minimum number of hours and their distribution required by the Graduate College, the general requirements described in Part A of this handbook and a positive evaluation of the first year project paper and defense conducted following completion of the paper, which is due the Monday after Thanksgiving.

### 6. Neuropsychology Subtrack within the Clinical Program

Students enrolled in this program follow the usual requirements of the Clinical Program with the following modifications:

**Required Coursework**

1. Principles of Neuropsychology (PSY:6370/031:278, 3 sh). *NOTE:* Course typically offered every Fall semester; Dan Tranel, Instructor.
2. Medical Neuroscience (ACB:8114/060:234/NSCI:7234/132:234, Medical Neuroscience, 4 sh). *NOTE:* Course offered in every Spring semester, and begins EARLY (1st week of January), due to the medical student curriculum. Note: This course will change in the Spring 2015; will become a course for PT and graduate students only and will have a different course number. Justin Sipla is the Instructor.

**Additional Recommended Coursework**

2. Seminar: Neuropsychology & Neuroscience (NSCI:5365/064:365, PSY:5365/031:365, 0-1 sh). This is the “Morning Meeting” seminar led by Dan Tranel that takes place year-round on 2 weekday mornings (Tuesday/Thursday in the 2013-2014 AY) from 7:30 to 8:30 a.m.
It is offered continuously including during the summer term. Attendance is required, but students have the prerogative to formally register or not.

3. The following courses are relevant and one or more could be taken to broaden the student’s background:

- PSY:5210/031:241 Fundamentals of Behavioral Neuroscience
- PSY:7210/031:338 Advanced Topics in Behavioral & Cognitive Neuroscience
- PSY:6750/031:236 Fundamentals of Clinical Behavioral Neuroscience
- PSY:3250/031:129 Neuroscience of Learning and Memory
- PSY:3070/031:134 Cognition and the Brain

Neuropsychology Practicum

1. Background Coursework. PSY:5330/031:263 Principles of Psychological Assessment (4 sh), and at least two semesters of practicum (PSY:7350/031:461) in the Seashore Clinic. These courses are the normal sequence for all clinical students, and are a prerequisite for the practicum experiences below.

2. Students begin neuropsychology practicum at the beginning of Year 3 or thereafter.

Neuropsychological Assessment: 10 hours/week for two semesters (150 hours/semester), registering for 2-3 sh each semester. Basic neuropsychological practicum may be completed in the Neurology (Tranel, Jones, Denburg, Barrash) and/or Psychiatry (Moser) Neuropsychology clinics of the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. At least one semester must be in Neurology, though it need not be the first semester. The practicum, which can be completed in either the third or the fourth year, consists of one full day (7:30 am to 4:30 pm) on any day of the week (or half-day combinations that fit the schedules of the student and the clinic). One semester can be completed in the summer by doing 150 hours over 8 weeks (register for 2-3 sh).

3. Specialty Neuropsychology Practicum. 2 sh 10 hours/week for one semester (150 hours). Optional replacement of the second semester of the Neuropsychological Assessment Practicum. Any one of the following would serve for this experience:

- Rehabilitation Practicum (Anderson)
- Dementia Practicum (Denburg)
- Schizophrenia Practicum (Moser)
- Pediatric Neuropsychology Practicum (Lindgren)

Comprehensive Examination

Students must complete a Comprehensive Examination Paper on a topic relevant to Neuropsychology. The student’s Comprehensive Exam Consultation Committee (CECC) must approve the student’s topic as relevant to neuropsychology.

7. Health Psychology Subtrack within the Clinical Program

Students enrolled in this program follow the usual requirements of the Clinical Program with the following modifications:

Required Courses

1. PSY:5710/031:250 Introduction to Health and Behavioral Science
2. PSY:6050/031:252 Clinical Behavioral Medicine
3. One additional course from the Health Psychology area. Currently these courses include:
   - PSY:#251/031:251 Psychobiology of Cardiovascular Disease
   - PSY:6750/031:236 Fundamentals of Clinical Behavioral Neuroscience
   - PSY:6210/031:230 Behavioral Psychopharmacology
   - PSY:7030/031:370 Seminar in Health Psychology (can be taken more than once for different topics)

Any other course approved by both the clinical and health areas.
Clinical Health Psychology Practicum

1. Prerequisites:
   - PSY:5330/031:263 Principles of Psychological Assessment
   - Two or more semesters of practicum in the Seashore Clinic
2. Minimum of 2 semesters Clinical Health Psychology Practicum with Dr. Lutgendorf, Dr. Christensen or approved substitute clinical health practicum.
3. Additional health related practicum experiences currently include:
   - Iowa City VAMC
   - Pediatric Psychology Practicum UIHC
   - Women’s Health Center
   - Organ Transplant Program

Health Psychology Research

A minimum of nine semester hours of research devoted to a health psychology topic. “Health psychology research” would ordinarily be research supervised by a member of the health psychology area. With approval from the clinical and health psychology areas this research could be supervised by another faculty member in the department.

Comprehensive Examination

Students must complete a Comprehensive Examination Paper on a topic relevant to Health Psychology. The student’s Comprehensive Exam Consultation Committee must include at least two clinical-health psychology faculty members. The CECC must approve the student’s topic as relevant to Health Psychology, and the final Comprehensive Exam Committee must include at least three faculty with expertise in clinical-health psychology.

8. Clinical Area Minors

Clinical-area students may choose to “minor” in one of two specialty areas: Neuropsychology or Health Psychology (the “major” is always either Clinical Psychology, if an internship is completed successfully, or “Experimental Psychopathology,” if not). Each minor area has specific course and other requirements, so to minimize difficulties in completing them (e.g., due to course scheduling issues), students interested in these specialty areas should consult with Professor O’Hara (DCT) and Professor Lutgendorf (regarding the Health Psychology minor) or Professor Tranel (regarding the Neuropsychology minor). In either case, students should consult with their mentor early on to determine if a minor is a good fit to their program. Minor areas are not recognized formally by the University of Iowa; thus, they do not appear on students’ transcripts, and students should ask the faculty who write them letters of recommendation to note the students’ minor area of concentration in their letters.
APPENDIX A

The Comprehensive Examination Review Paper in Clinical Psychology: Description and Timeline

The Clinical Comprehensive Examination is a review paper on a topic of significant interest to a broad audience of clinical psychologists and of a scope that is worthy of publication as a stand-alone article in a journal such as Psychological Bulletin, Clinical Psychology Review, or a specialty journal that publishes reviews. Additionally, the scope of the paper should be consistent with the letter and spirit of the Graduate College regulations concerning the Comprehensive Examination and reflect the scholarly preparation of a Ph.D. candidate.

Comprehensive Exam Topic Approval Process

By September 15 of their 3rd year, students will hold an initial Comprehensive Exam (CE) consultation meeting to discuss the student’s choice of a topic for the Comprehensive Exam Review Paper. Students must provide members of their Comprehensive Exam Consultation Committee (CECC) (comprising 3 faculty with at least 2 in the Clinical area) with a brief (approximately 3-5 pages including everything) paper about their topic, including a summary statement / abstract, preliminary outline, and initial set of references for a review paper, no less than 2 weeks before this meeting. Members of the CECC may or may not overlap with members of students’ RAC. The CECC will evaluate the topic for its suitability and likelihood of leading to a publication, and at the end of the meeting will decide (with the student absent from the room) to approve, provisionally approve, or disapprove of the proposed topic. Primary reasons for disapproval of a topic may include, but are not limited to: (a) topic is too similar to that of a recently published review, (b) the number of published articles on the topic is too small to draw meaningful conclusions, and (c) the topic is unlikely to be of significant interest to a broad audience (e.g., a substantial proportion of clinical psychologists). Paper topics may be similar to those for a dissertation prospectus. However, the scope of the Comprehensive Exam Review Paper (CERP) is expected to be more comprehensive and worthy of publication as a stand-alone paper. A new meta-analysis may form the basis for the CERP. Provisional approval will be given when the topic is judged to be suitable, but the CECC feels that some aspect of the student’s topic presentation needs further refinement.

If a topic is disapproved, the faculty must provide the student with a written statement of rationale for this decision within 2 weeks of the meeting. The CECC will decide how to write this statement, but typically the student’s major advisor will write the statement with input from the other CECC members. All CECC members must give final approval to the statement of rationale. If the topic is either approved provisionally or disapproved, the student and CECC will agree on a mutually acceptable date for resubmission of the topic paper, which will be reviewed by either the student’s advisor or the whole CECC, again by mutual agreement. All other deadlines remain unchanged. Advisors will inform the DCT when the topic has been approved.

Submission and Reviews of First Full Draft of Review Paper

Students will submit their completed CERP to their CECC by the first Monday in February of their third year, along with the names of three to five rank-ordered journals to which the student is considering submitting the paper, at least one of which must be a general interest journal (i.e., Psychological Bulletin, Psychological Review, Clinical Psychology Review, or American Journal of Psychiatry [submitted as a special paper]), and the others of which may be relevant specialty journals (e.g., Schizophrenia Bulletin, International Journal of Eating Disorders, a journal in a student’s subtrack area [as appropriate] that publishes reviews, etc.). Students may consult freely with faculty regarding the choice of journals. CERPs must be written entirely by the student and the presumption is that the CERP will be the student’s independent work. This does not preclude
students discussing their paper with their advisors, other faculty including CECC and RAC members, and other students. However, no faculty member, including the student’s faculty advisor, may read or comment on any student’s CERP prior to the paper’s submission.

Within three weeks of the receipt of the CERP, each CECC member will provide the student and other CECC members with a review of the manuscript similar to the type of review they would write for an article submitted to a peer-reviewed journal. In addition to this review, to facilitate communication, CECC members may return the document to the student with inserted comments. In keeping with the presumption that the CERP is the student’s independent work, no other written feedback is permitted. However, to help ensure that the final submission will be Satisfactory, oral feedback may be provided to the student by any or all committee members regarding the extent of revisions expected. **Note:** No decision on the CE is made based on this submission. All students must revise and resubmit a final draft of their CE paper.

**Submission and Evaluation of CE Review Paper**

Students will revise their paper in response to these reviews and submit a revision, along with a cover letter detailing where and how they revised the paper, by the Monday of the week before the last week of classes of the students’ third year, to a Comprehensive Exam Committee (CEC) of 5 faculty members, comprising the CECC and two additional faculty members selected by the student in consultation with the major advisor. At least 4 members of the Clinical faculty will comprise the final CEC. Each CEC member will read the completed CERP, and the CEC members will meet without the student present to discuss and record their evaluations of the exam (Satisfactory, Reservations, or Unsatisfactory) on the Graduate College CE form within two weeks of its submission. If a student fails to submit a CERP by the deadline, the exam shall be considered Unsatisfactory. The CEC must meet no later than the last day of finals week.

The material in this paragraph provides guidelines for faculty to use when evaluating a comps exam paper but does not constitute a rule per se. That is, faculty members use their own judgment when recording an evaluation of a comps exam paper. Considering the top three journals to which the student plans to submit the paper, CEC members each will evaluate the CERP (a) “Satisfactory” if they would recommend either “Accept Pending Revision” or a “positive” “Revise and Resubmit,” meaning that they expect that the paper ultimately could be accepted for publication without substantial work being done by someone other than the student, (b) Reservations, if they would recommend a “negative” “Revise and Resubmit,” meaning that they are not convinced that the paper ultimately could be accepted for publication without substantial work being done by someone other than the student (e.g., to the point that authorship order might need to be reconsidered) and (c) Unsatisfactory, if they would recommend “Reject.”

Per Graduate College rules, the exam grade is Unsatisfactory if two or more examiners vote Unsatisfactory, and (if not Unsatisfactory), Reservations if two or more examiners vote Reservations. Otherwise the exam is graded Satisfactory.

The students’ advisor will inform the DCT of when the evaluation meeting will take place and, as soon as possible after the meeting, will give the signed CE form to the DCT, who then will inform the student (a) of the outcome of the exam and (b) if the result is not “Satisfactory,” what the next step in the process is.

**Process if First CE is not “Satisfactory”**

If the resulting CE grade is “Reservations,” the CEC will provide the student within two weeks with a review of the CERP listing the examiners’ concerns, and the student will have the opportunity to revise the paper in response to these reviews. The form of this review will be determined by the CEC members, but must be sufficiently detailed to provide the student with adequate guidance for revision, similar to that received when a manuscript receives a Revise-and-Resubmit editorial decision. Again, students may discuss their CERPs with their advisors, other faculty including CECC members, and students, but no faculty member may comment on
students’ CERPs outside of the formal review and grading process described above. Students will submit their revised CERP by September 1 of their fourth year. The CEC members will read the revised CERP and meet to discuss and record their evaluations by September 15. At this time, each CEC member’s evaluation will be either Satisfactory (if the student’s revision responds adequately to the examiners’ concerns so that they now expect that the paper ultimately could be accepted for publication without substantial work being done by someone other than the student) or Unsatisfactory, if otherwise.

If the first Exam grade is Unsatisfactory, the clinical-area faculty shall make a determination no later than the next regularly scheduled CATC meeting whether the student shall be retained in the program and allowed to retake the CE or shall be required to leave the program. If the area faculty decides that the student shall be allowed to retake the CE, students must repeat the CE process from the beginning. Whether or not this will be with the same or a new topic will be decided by the CEC in consultation with the student. Students who do not receive a Satisfactory grade on the CE by the beginning of their fifth year will be required to leave the program at the end of that fall semester.

*Follow-up*

All students who pass their CE are strongly encouraged to make final revisions based on CEC members’ feedback and to submit their Comprehensive Examination Review paper for publication. After passing their CE, students may work on their paper with co-authors of their choosing, but are expected to retain first authorship. Additionally, students are encouraged to meet with members of the CEC to obtain more feedback and suggestions.
## Timeline for Clinical-area Comprehensive Examination Paper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
<th>INTERVAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>THIRD YEAR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit topic paper</td>
<td>No later than Sept. 1 or 2 weeks before initial CEC meeting</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial CEC meeting</td>
<td>September 15</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If disapproved, faculty provide rationale</td>
<td>Within 2 weeks</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If provisionally approved, hold second meeting</td>
<td>By mutual decision</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must have approved topic</td>
<td>CEC decision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit complete first draft</td>
<td>First Monday in February</td>
<td>4 ½ months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty provide review</td>
<td>Three weeks after submission</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit revised, final draft</td>
<td>Monday of the week before last week of classes</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty evaluate/meet to decide exam outcome: Satisfactory, Reservations, or Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>before end of finals week</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Reservations, faculty provide written review</td>
<td>Within 2 weeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit revision</td>
<td>September 1 of Fourth Year</td>
<td>~ 4 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Unsatisfactory,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. CATC faculty decide re: retention</td>
<td>Next CATC mtg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. If retained, start entire process over</td>
<td>CEC decision for topic; 3rd-year timeline for paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. If not retained, terminated</td>
<td>End of Fall semester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must pass second comps exam (i.e. submit Satisfactory paper)</td>
<td>End of Spring Semester Fourth Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination if not passed</td>
<td>End of Spring semester Fourth Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# APPENDIX B
## Clinical Area Course Requirements and Electives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semesters Taken</th>
<th>Course number</th>
<th>Course name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Core (all required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:5320/031:260</td>
<td>Psychopathology</td>
<td>Principles of Psychological Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:5330/031:263</td>
<td>PSY:6340/031:266</td>
<td>PSY:7310/031:360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:6350/031:380</td>
<td>PSQF:7465/07P:465</td>
<td>Issues &amp; Ethics in Professional Psych</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or PSQF:7465/07P:465</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQF:7320/07P:320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Elective Courses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:5710/031:250</td>
<td>Introduction to Health and Behavioral Science</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:6050/031:252</td>
<td>Clinical Behavioral Medicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:5332/031:264</td>
<td>Psychological Appraisal II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:6320/031:276</td>
<td>Advanced Developmental Psychopathology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:6370/031:278</td>
<td>Principles of Neuropsychology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:7030/031:370</td>
<td>Seminar: Health Psychology – <em>Psychoneuroimmunology</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:7030/031:370</td>
<td>Seminar: Health Psychology – <em>Psychological Aspects of Chronic Illness</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practicum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:7350/031:461</td>
<td>Introductory Practicum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:7355/031:462</td>
<td>Assessment Practicum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:7360/031:463</td>
<td>Therapy Practicum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:7365/031:464</td>
<td>External Practicum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:7370/031:465</td>
<td>Supervision and Consultation Practicum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics (2 required, 3 recommended)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:5050/031:245</td>
<td>Quantitative Methods in Psychology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:5055/031:247</td>
<td>Mixed-Effects Modeling in Psychology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQF:6244/07P:244, BIOS:5120/171:162, BIOS:5720/171:202, or equivalent</td>
<td>Correlation &amp; Regression, Design and Analysis of Biomedical Studies, Biostatistical Methods II, or equivalent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Projects (6 semesters required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY:7110/031:297</td>
<td>Research Projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APA Accreditation Requirement Courses (minimum 1 course per area; Individual Differences, Psychological Measurement, Psychopathology, Affective Bases of Behavior are met by core clinical requirements and are so not listed in the table below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semesters Taken</th>
<th>Course Number</th>
<th>Course Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6210/031:230</td>
<td>Behavioral Pharmacology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:5210/031:241</td>
<td>Fundamentals of Behavioral Neuroscience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6370/031:278</td>
<td>Principles of Neuropsychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEUR:6240/064:240*</td>
<td>Topics in Cognitive Neuroscience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6430/031:218</td>
<td>Cognitive Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:5610/031:220</td>
<td>Proseminar in Cognition and Perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6230/031:235</td>
<td>Foundations of Learning, Memory &amp; Cognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6040/031:240</td>
<td>Judgment and Decision Making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEUR:6240/064:240*</td>
<td>Topics in Cognitive Neuroscience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social/Cultural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6510/031:201</td>
<td>Advanced Social-Personality Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6530/031:206</td>
<td>Advanced Social Cognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6550/031:211</td>
<td>Advanced Social and Personality Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6320/031:276</td>
<td>Advanced Developmental Psychopathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:7150/031:280*</td>
<td>Current Topics in Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:7150/031:280</td>
<td>Evolutionary Perspectives in Social Psychology (Neel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:5410/031:210</td>
<td>Developmental Science Proseminar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:6550/031:211</td>
<td>Advanced Social and Personality Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:7430/031:318*</td>
<td>Seminar: Cognitive Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:7150/031:280*</td>
<td>Current Topics in Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSY:7150/031:280</td>
<td>Social Cognitive Development (Gros-Louis)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Courses with an asterisk (*) may count depending on the topic.
APPENDIX C
Requirements for University of Iowa Ph.D. in Clinical Psychology

Name: ___________________________ Year began program _________

Core Courses (all required; note semesters taken)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Semester Taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31:360</td>
<td>Orientation to Clinical Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31:260</td>
<td>Psychopathology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31:263</td>
<td>Principles of Psychological Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31:266</td>
<td>Therapies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31:465</td>
<td>Supervision and Consultation Practicum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics/Research Methods (2 of 1st 3 required)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31:247</td>
<td>7P:244, 171:162, or equiv.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7P:246</td>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APA Accreditation (min. 1 course per area; circle courses and note semesters taken)

- Biological (31:230, 241, 278; 64:240*)
- Cognitive (31:218, 220, 235, 240; 64:240*)
- Human Development (31: 210, 211, 218, 276, 280*)
- Social/Cultural (31:201, 206, 211, 280*)
- History and Systems of Psychology (7P:320)
- Professional Standards and Ethics (31:380, 7P:465)

Individual Differences, Psychological Measurement, Psychopathology, Affective Bases of Behavior are met by core requirements

Credit Hours

- **Total**: incl Transfer credits (72 sh minimum, including maximum of 16 sh of 31:296)
- **Psych courses**: incl transfer credits, stats, seminars; **not** practica, individual instruction (24 sh min)
- **Total within department**: (a) including statistics; **not** transfer credits, (22 sh min)
  - (b) **not** transfer credits, statistics, practica, individual instruction (15 sh min)

List on Ph.D. Plan of Study:

1. Predoctoral internship
2. 31:463 Therapy Practicum (4 sh in Year 4)
3. 31:296 Ph.D. Dissertation Research (4-6 sh in Year 4)
4. Remaining courses; give number, name, and anticipated semester

Courses with an asterisk (*) depend on the topic.

Note that the Health Psychology, Personality, and Neuropsychology subtracks have special requirements.
COGNITION AND PERCEPTION TRAINING AREA

1. General focus
The Cognition & Perception (CP) area is concerned with the fundamental psychological processes underlying all aspects of mind and behavior: perception, memory, language, comprehesnion, judgment and reasoning, learning, and so on.

2. Faculty
The primary faculty in the CP training area are Eliot Hazeltine (area coordinator), Susan Wagner-Cook, Thomas Farmer, Prahlad Gupta, Andrew Hollingworth, Bob McMurray, Cathleen Moore, Toby Mordkoff, Larissa Samuelson, John Spencer, Teresa Treat and Shaun Vecera. The secondary faculty are Jodie Plumert, Amy Poremba, Daniel Tranel, Edward Wasserman, and Paul Windschitl. In addition, the following faculty with interests in cognitive and perceptual psychology have joint or adjunct appointments in the department: Gary Gaeth (Marketing).

3. Research Requirements
a. Research Advisory Committee and meetings: In consultation with his or her advisor, new students must establish a Research Advisory Committee (RAC) that is comprised of the advisor and two other department faculty members no later than one month after the beginning of the student’s first term.

Up until the successful passing of the second-year review, CP students must meet with their RACs every semester during the last week of classes or exam week to review activity during that semester and to establish specific plans for the following term. Students are encouraged to get this meeting time established early in the semester to accommodate schedules at the end of the term.

CP students must schedule a separate meeting with their RACs sometime within two weeks after turning in the first-year project report. Details of this meeting are described below. Students are encouraged to get this meeting established early to accommodate schedules.

The RAC will be dissolved following the successful passing of the second-year review, at which point a comprehensive exam committee will be established as described below.

b. First-year project and report: CP students must complete a first-year research project which includes an empirical component. A report describing that project is due to the student’s RAC and the area coordinator no later than Friday of the first week of the fall semester of the student’s second year. This report should be a manuscript-style report in the format that would be appropriate for submission to a journal such as Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance or Cognition.

c. First-year project RAC meeting: Within two weeks after the first-year project is turned in, CP students must meet with their RACs about the first-year project and write up. If there are major concerns with the document, the RAC will request a revision and possibly a second meeting. The student will still meet with the RAC at the end of the fall semester of the 2nd year to review their overall progress in the program, plans for the upcoming semester, and preparation for the Graduate Research Symposium, which is held early in the Spring semester of the student’s 2nd year.

d. Graduate Research Symposium: CP students must present their first-year project at the Graduate Research Symposium, which is held early in the spring semester of their second year.
e. Comprehensive examination: After passing the second-year review, CP students will form a comprehensive exam committee that is comprised of 5 faculty members, at least 3 of whom are primary or secondary members of the CP area. There can be overlap in membership from the student’s RAC committee.

The first phase of the comprehensive examination is a meeting with this committee that consists of two parts. The first part will be a discussion between the student and the committee regarding the student’s general research interests, professional goals, and the coursework that he or she has completed. This is expected to be an open-ended dialog about the student’s goals and aspirations. It is an opportunity for the student to receive advice from multiple faculty and for the committee to assess what the student should know in order to achieve his or her professional goals. Students will have begun this discussion with their advisors and RACs long before this meeting.

The second part of the meeting will take place without the student. Based on the preceding discussion, the committee will draft a set of five questions that will be used for the written part of the student’s comprehensive exam. These questions will be refined and finalized prior to the beginning of the exam.

Approximately one week after the meeting, the student will receive the set of 5 questions and will be required to provide written answers to four of them. Some of the questions may be designated as non-optional (i.e., cannot be the question dropped), but there will be some choice involved. The student turns in the written answers two weeks after receiving the questions. The specific start date of the exam will be determined together by the student and committee.

An oral defense must be completed by the end of the first week of classes of the student’s third year.

f. Prospectus: Following the comprehensive exam, students will form a dissertation committee that is comprised of 5 faculty members, at least three of whom are primary members of the CP area. This committee will often overlap partially or fully with the comprehensive-exam committee.

The prospectus process for CP students consists of two components: (1) a formal one-time NRSA-style grant proposal and (2) a 1-2 page ‘current plan’ for the dissertation that is maintained and updated as details of the dissertation plan change from that put forward in the NRSA-style proposal. The purpose of this two-component process is to provide the experience and opportunity of putting together a formal grant application early enough to submit it if the student is eligible, while simultaneously allowing for the continual development of the research program.

By no later than August 31st of the student’s fourth year, the student must submit an NRSA-style grant proposal to the dissertation committee that describes research that could be the student’s dissertation research. Although the deadline for the proposal is August 31st of the fourth year, students are encouraged to complete the proposal by May of the third year so that they can receive feedback on it, revise it, and submit it to NIH, which has an August deadline.

Regardless of when the prospectus is completed, all students must meet with their dissertation committee in May of their third year regarding their prospectus. This meeting will be used to either discuss the existing proposal and how it can be improved for submission to NIH in August or it will be used to discuss the student’s ideas as they currently stand, and give feedback on developing the proposal.

In addition to the NRSA proposal, students must file a 1-2 page plan describing the expected content and format of the dissertation by the end of the 2nd semester of the fourth year. This plan may be a summary of what was put forward in the NRSA proposal or it may be
different. In any case, the plans should be formed in consultation with the dissertation committees, and each member of the committee must sign the plan when it is filed. This plan will constitute the current approved dissertation plan. Students must communicate with their committees regularly (minimally once a semester), and, if the plan changes, an updated plan must be submitted and signed by the student and all committee members and kept on file. If a member of the committee has questions or concerns about the current plan, the committee member may request that it be reviewed by the CP area as a whole.

Again, this prospectus process is designed in recognition of the fact that plans for dissertation research will evolve over time as the research unfolds, while still allowing that a student should be able to put together an NRSA proposal by the beginning of their 4th year.

g. **Dissertation**: The dissertation must have a significant empirical component, an explanation of the logic supporting the methods and interpretation of the data, a description of the theoretical contribution of the empirical work, a review of the relevant literature, and a discussion of how the work contributes to the field and serves as a foundation for a broader research program.

Students will work with their committees to develop a course of action that satisfies these requirements and suits an expeditious plan for disseminating the findings. The goal is to select a format that minimizes unnecessary work and allows students to be maximally productive while ensuring that they have demonstrated the level of expertise we require for issuing a PhD. Both the format and the content (e.g., theoretical and empirical components) must be specified in the student’s signed dissertation plan.

Some possible formats for the dissertation include:

i) The standard dissertation format, especially if none of the work is ready for publication.

ii) A set of submittable (or submitted/accepted) manuscripts with bookends (or a single document) describing the broader implications of the work and how the papers cohere, and how they fit with a larger research agenda.

iii) If the manuscripts are short or very empirical, something like the option (ii) above with an additional literature review.

h. **Dissertation defense**: The final stage of the dissertation process will be an oral defense. Students must submit the dissertation document to the PhD committee no later than one week prior to the defense date. The defense will consist of two parts, a public part which is colloquium style and offers a description of the research, and a closed part which includes just the student and the PhD committee.

4. **Course Requirements**

Course work is intended to provide students with a foundation of background and skills that they need to be effective in their professional lives. For graduate work, passing a course entails receiving a grade of B- or better. See Part A of this handbook for information regarding requirements for training in the responsible conduct of research, and overall credit and course-load requirements. The following information concerns requirements that are specific to students in the CP training area.

a. **Proseminar**: All students in the CP training area must complete two semesters of the Cognition and Perception Proseminar to gain basic working knowledge of the various subfields within cognitive psychology. It is expected that students be conversant in the major theoretical debates and methodological approaches encountered in cognitive psychology, and to be able to draw on these when they become relevant to the student’s own line of research. Under typical circumstances, students should complete the two semesters of the Proseminar by the end of their 2nd year.
b. **Statistics:** Professional scholars need a solid understanding of basic statistical theory and effective practice (including but not limited to a working knowledge of statistical procedures). Each student is expected to demonstrate or develop competence in research design and statistical analysis as soon as possible. All students in the CP track must take at least two semesters of graduate-level statistics (i.e., courses at the 200-level or higher). Each entering student should consult with his or her advisor and Research Advisory Committee to determine the course of study that should be followed to satisfy this requirement given the student's background and desired expertise.

c. **Additional courses (plan of study):** Each student’s Research Advisory Committee (RAC) will work with the student individually to develop a plan of study that specifies the coursework that needs to be completed by the student. The plan of study will include at least four courses in addition to the Proseminar, statistics, and responsible conduct of research requirements. The goal is to develop a plan that meets the particular needs of the student and provides the necessary background and expertise for the student to become successful in her or his particular topic of research. Thus, the exact number of classes will vary across students, depending on the knowledge they need to be productive in their subfield.

The student must submit the proposed plan of study, initialed by each member of the RAC and the student, to the area coordinator, who will review it and decide to either (a) approve it or (b) submit it to the primary members of the area for area-wide approval. If the plan is not approved, the area coordinator will work with the RAC and the student to modify the proposed plan of study. The student’s plan of study will become part of the students file and thereby be available for review by the student and area faculty.

The plan of study should be approved sometime during the spring semester of the student’s 1st year in the program, thus allowing time for fall-semester registration. The plan can be changed at any time with the approval of the student, the RAC, and the area coordinator. Signed change of plans will, like the original plan, be submitted to the area coordinator, who will review it and decide to either (a) approve it or (b) submit it to the primary members of the area for area-wide approval.

Finally, additional classes beyond the plan can of course be taken at the student’s discretion, and a student’s advisor may well suggest such courses.

d. **Colloquia:** In addition to formal courses, students are expected to seek broad exposure to research in psychology through regular attendance at departmental colloquia, including those in areas of study outside the boundaries of their own training area. We expect CP students to attend, at a minimum, all BCN/CP/DS brown bags and Departmental (Friday afternoon) colloquia. In addition, many Delta Center events will be of interest and appropriate for CP students to attend.

e. **Petition process to apply previous graduate work to course requirements:** Students who have taken graduate-level coursework at other institutions may seek permission to use this coursework to substitute for some required courses. The student should consult with his/her advisor regarding what aspects of the requirements will be met through the transfer credits and prepare a brief (e.g., ½ page) description of the course/s and how they will be applied to the requirements of this program. The student should submit this petition, along with the syllabus of the course/s, to the CP area coordinator. The petition will be distributed to the CP faculty and voted on either via email, or if necessary, following a meeting. In the event that a petition is denied by the CP faculty, the student can appeal to CGS, and following that to the departmental Chairperson. See Part A of this handbook for details of that process.

5. **Other**

a. **Second-year review:** The second-year review process for the CP area consists of a meeting of the area faculty, soon after the Graduate Research Symposium, in which they discuss the
progress of each of the second-year students in the program. The evaluation will be based on all aspects the student’s performance including course-work, research, the first-year project and report, performance at the Graduate Research Symposium, performance in assistantships and engagement in program events such as brown bags and colloquia, and professional behavior. Input will be solicited from RAC members and instructors of courses that the student took. The goal of the second-year evaluation is to assess whether the student seems likely to be in a position to successfully complete the PhD program.

The training area will make a recommendation that (1) the student continue on to the next stage of the program, (2) the student be placed on departmental probation and re-evaluated after a specified period of time during which he/she works to remediate whatever deficiencies were identified, or (3) the student be terminated from the program. The student will receive a written report reporting the recommendation.

If the recommendation from the training area is either for departmental probation or termination from the program, the report will be submitted to CGS and the department for approval and/or revision.

Students will receive a written report of the outcome of the second-year review.

b. Masters degree: Students who have passed the second-year review and are continuing on to completion of the PhD are eligible to receive an MA degree, though it is not necessary for the PhD. A student who is terminated from the PhD program at the time of the second-year review (or any other time) may transfer to the MA program and remain in that program through the end of the subsequent term (semester or summer session) if necessary in order to satisfy the requirements for a Master's degree. Master’s degree requirements through the CP training area include the minimum number of hours and their distribution required by the Graduate College, the general requirements described in Part A of this handbook, and the statistics requirement for CP students described above, and two semesters of the CP proseminar.
DEVELOPMENTAL SCIENCE TRAINING AREA

1. General focus
The Developmental Science (DS) training area at the University of Iowa seeks to understand processes that underlie development. Critically, this process-oriented view permeates the questions we ask, the methods we use, and the nature of our science. Thus, we have a unique training mission: to train students in a broad array of theories and methodologies both within and outside the traditional boundaries of developmental psychology such that our students are equipped to ask and answer fundamental questions of process.

2. Faculty
The primary faculty members in the DS Training area are Larissa Samuelson (area coordinator), Mark Blumberg, Susan Wagner Cook, Julie Gros-Louis, Prahlad Gupta, Bob McMurray, Jodie Plumert, and John Spencer. John Freeman is a secondary member of the area.

3. Research Requirements

a. First-year project and report: By the second Monday following Thanksgiving break of their second year, students—including those who have entered with Master's degrees—will turn in a research progress report (in APA style) describing the research they have performed during their time in our graduate program. This report should include the scientific rationale of the project and the methods used as well as any results obtained to date, and what they mean. If data collection is not complete, the document must clearly indicate how much is left to do, and must specify a timetable for completion of the project. The report should be as close as possible in style and quality to empirical papers published in peer-reviewed developmental journals (although it may be shorter than a typical article). The report must be based on empirical data whether collected by the student or drawn from an existing database. In either case, the data must be processed and analyzed directly by the student. Statistical analyses of the data should be performed, even if they are only preliminary at the time of the submission of the report. The report should also present discussion of the findings in the context of developmental theory and outline future studies to be conducted.

A paper already submitted to a journal for review and publication may be used to satisfy the research report requirement provided 1) at least 50% of the data contained in the manuscript were collected at Iowa, 2) it was written primarily by the student, and 3) the writing was supervised by one of the Developmental Science faculty listed above.

An electronic copy of this report should be sent to the area coordinator and the members of the student’s RAC.

c. Graduate Research Symposium: DS students must present their first-year project at the Graduate Research Symposium, which is held early in the spring semester of their second year.

d. Comprehensive examination: The comprehensive exam in DS will consist of (1) a depth component: an NRSA-style (National Research Service Award) grant proposal, (2) a breadth component: two (12-15 pp.) essay papers, and (3) an oral exam.

1. Depth component: An NRSA grant proposal in the student’s area of interest
   To test deep understanding of one area of developmental science, students will be required to write a grant proposal detailing how they would address one research question closely related to their primary area of interest. The issue of interest may or may not correspond to the student’s on-going research (e.g., first-year project or dissertation topic). The grant proposal should demonstrate the student’s understanding of the important questions in this area, the literature related to these questions, and the
appropriate research methods and analytic strategies for addressing those questions. Full instructions for submitting an NRSA are available here: 
http://grants1.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PA-11-111.html. Students are required to complete the Specific Aims and Research Strategy sections only for the comprehensive exam. Note the Specific Aims are restricted to 1 page. The Research Strategy is limited to 6 pages and should include the following: a) Significance, b) Innovation, and c) Approach. The specific instructions for these parts of application can be found on pages I-83 to I-87 of the application guide that can be downloaded at the above link. These instructions specify what should be covered in a-c above. The student is encouraged to develop the topic for the grant proposal with his/her mentor and the RAC. Students are also encouraged to look at previous NRSA proposals written by other students.

2. Breadth component: Essays addressing fundamental process-oriented issues in Developmental Science at both (a) a process/mechanistic level and (b) an empirical level. 
   To test understanding of the broad, interdisciplinary field of developmental science, students will be required to write two 12-15 pp. essay papers. These essays should be a combination of position paper, literature review and experimental proposal. The first essay must apply a specific developmental mechanism or process to a novel domain that is outside of the student’s main research focus. This essay should 1) introduce the domain of focus, 2) introduce the mechanism/process that the student will apply to the domain, and 3) propose an experiment that builds on the literature review to test a specific relevant hypothesis. The second essay must address a central empirical issue in developmental science. Here, the emphasis is on the detailed findings within an area of study, an evaluation of the methods used, an evaluation of insights gained (or not gained), and so on. Thus, this essay should 1) introduce the empirical phenomenon of interest, 2) introduce the empirical debate, and 3) propose a critical test that would provide insight on the phenomenon and debate. As with the first essay, the topic must be outside of the student’s primary research area. Students are encouraged to develop their essay topics in consultation with the mentor and RAC, as well as other faculty members in the Psychology Department who might have expertise in the selected topic areas.

   Note that these essays are designed to build on the final paper assignment that students completed both semesters of the Developmental Science Proseminar. Thus, students may look back to that assignment as a guide to the expectations. Note, however, that the topic and substance of these essays must be different from that of the prior work.

3. Oral exam
   The oral exam will involve discussion of (but not limited to) the topics covered in the grant proposal and essay papers. That is, discussion may focus on issues directly related to the literature reviewed in these papers, or may broaden in scope to include issues raised by these papers for other topic areas / approaches within developmental science, as well as implications for our understanding of developmental process. At least five faculty members from the DS area must attend the oral exam, but any faculty member in the Department of Psychology is welcome to attend.

4. Evaluation of the comprehensive exam
   One of three decisions will be reached by the orals committee: Pass, Fail, or Conditional Pass. The decision will be based on the committee’s assessment of all three elements of the student’s performance (grant, essays, and oral defense). A grade of Fail indicates that the student’s performance was unsatisfactory. In this case, the rules of the Graduate College for failing the comprehensive exam will apply. A grade of Conditional Pass indicates that the committee had reservations about elements of the student’s performance. In this case, passing the comprehensive exam will be contingent on fulfilling conditions specified by the committee.
5. Timeline for comprehensive exam process

- By April 1 of the second year, the student must submit a one-page description of the NRSA grant proposal topic that includes an initial list of readings and a one-page description of the topics for the two breadth essays with initial list of readings to all members of the RAC. These topics must be approved by the student’s RAC by the end of the spring semester of the second year and submitted to the training area coordinator.
- By June 1 of the second year, the student must submit reading lists for the two breadth essays to the RAC and the training area coordinator. These reading lists must be approved by June 15 of the second year.
- Throughout the summer of the second year, students may continue to discuss issues related to the exam with the faculty, but in no case should faculty members read drafts of manuscripts.
- By the first day of the Fall Semester of the third year, students must distribute copies of the NRSA and breadth essays to all faculty members of the DS area.
- The oral exam must be held no later than October 1 of the third year. It is the student’s responsibility to schedule the oral exam at a time when at least five DS faculty can attend. The time and place of the oral exam will be announced to all members of the DS area and any other faculty members that the student and his/her advisor deem appropriate.

Changes to this timeline should be discussed with the student’s advisor and then the area coordinator who will decide whether to petition the area faculty.

e. Prospectus: By the end of the 3rd year but no later than the end of the 4th year students are required to have a meeting with their proposed dissertation committee. This committee consists of at least five members of the University of Iowa graduate faculty. At least three members of the committee must hold an appointment of at least 40% in the Psychology Department. The chair of the committee must be a member of the Psychology Department. The PhD committee is responsible for evaluating the student’s prospectus, for providing advice while the student conducts the dissertation research, and for evaluating the dissertation at the final examination. The committee is initially selected by the student, in consultation with his or her advisor, but final approval occurs just prior to the final examination and rests with the Dean of the Graduate College (final approval typically occurs automatically when the student files a request to hold the final examination). At any time prior to final approval, the student may, in consultation with his or her advisor, change the membership of the PhD Committee.

For the initial meeting of this committee students should provide a short prospectus document—an initial 12-page proposal of their dissertation work. This is expected to be the first of multiple meetings of this committee. Such meetings may include changes in the specifics of the proposal as well as changes in the committee members.

f. Dissertation: The dissertation committee will ensure that the proposed dissertation has a significant empirical and/or theoretical component, an explanation of the logic supporting the methods and interpretation of the data, a description of the theoretical contribution of the work, a review of the relevant literature, and a discussion of how the work contributes to the field and serves as a foundation for a broader research program.

Students will work with their committees to develop a course of action that satisfies these requirements and is an expeditious plan for disseminating the findings. The goal is to select a format that minimizes unnecessary work and allows students to be maximally productive while ensuring that they have demonstrated the level of expertise we require for a PhD. Both the format and the content (e.g., theoretical and empirical components) must be specified in the student’s dissertation plan.
Some possible formats for the dissertation include:

1. The standard dissertation format, especially if none of the work is ready for publication.
2. A set of submittable (or submitted/accepted) manuscripts with bookends (or a single document) describing the broader implications of the work and how the papers cohere, and how they fit with a larger research agenda.
3. If the manuscripts are in a short-report format, a variant option (ii) with an additional literature review.

4. Course Requirements

Course work is intended to provide students with a foundation of background and skills that they need to be effective in their professional lives. For graduate work, passing a course entails receiving a grade of B- or better. See Part A of this handbook for information regarding requirements for training in the responsible conduct of research, and overall credit and course-load requirements. The following information concerns requirements that are specific to students in the DS training area.

a. Proseminar: Students in DS must take PSY:5410/031:210 Proseminar in Developmental Science twice, once during their first year and once during their second year.

b. Area Courses: Students in DS must take the following three area courses:
   - PSY:7210/031:338 Seminar: Advanced Topics in BCN - Developmental Behavioral Neuroscience
   - 31:NEW1 Translating Developmental Science to Applied Problems
   - 31:NEW2 Computational Approaches to Development

c. Statistics: Students in DS must take PSY:5050/031:245 Quantitative Methods in Psychology and either PSY:5055/031:247 Mixed-Effects Modeling in Psychology or one other statistics course selected by the student’s RAC.

d. Additional requirements: In addition to these formal course requirements DS students must meet the following two informal requirements. These will be monitored by the student’s advisor, RAC and the DS area coordinator.

   1) DS students must serve as a teaching assistant for the undergraduate course Introduction to Developmental Science at least once. This experience will expose students to the breadth of the field of developmental science and to applicable theory (in addition to providing hands-on teaching experience).

   2) DS students must maintain regular attendance at all departmental brown bags, colloquia, and DeLTA Center events. These events will provide students with exposure to the breadth of the fields of Developmental Science and Psychological and Brain Sciences.

Typical course of study: The following is a typical course of study leading to the PhD in Developmental Science. This is meant to be illustrative only—specific details need to be determined individually by each student in consultation with his/her advisor and RAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fall Semester</th>
<th>Spring Semester</th>
<th>Summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>3sh DS Proseminar</td>
<td>3sh 2nd DS Core</td>
<td>No registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(31:279 at orient.)</td>
<td>3sh 1st DS Core</td>
<td>4sh Mixed Effects/2nd stats</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 sh hr</td>
<td>4sh Quant Meth in Psych</td>
<td>5sh Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2nd year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3sh DS Proseminar</th>
<th>3sh Content course</th>
<th>No registration required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3sh DS 3rd DS Core</td>
<td>9sh Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6sh Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3rd year

Additional Stats/other content courses as advised by RAC | No registration required
---|---
Plus Research to equal 6 hours/semester

### 4th year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2sh Research</th>
<th>2sh Research</th>
<th>No registration required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

1) **Currently Offered Content Courses:**

- PSY:6550/031:211 Processes of Social Development
- PSY:#212/031:212 Perceptual-Cognitive Development in Infancy
- PSY:6450/031:214 Processes of Language Acquisition
- PSY:6490/031:216 Dynamic Systems and Development
- PSY:6430/031:218 Cognitive Development
- PSY:7150/031:280 Current Topics (recently: Role of Input in Development; Social Cognitive Development; Prelinguistic Communicative Development Embodied Cognition and Development)

---

5. Other

a. **Petition process to apply previous graduate work to course requirements:** Students who have taken graduate-level coursework at other institutions may seek permission to use this coursework to substitute for some required courses. The student should consult with his/her advisor regarding what aspects of the requirements will be met through the transfer credits and prepare a brief (e.g., ½ page) description of the course/s and how they will be applied to the requirements of this program. The student should submit this petition, along with the syllabus of the course/s, to the DS area coordinator. The petition will be distributed to the DS faculty and voted on either via email, or if necessary, following a meeting. In the event that a petition is denied by the DS faculty, the student can appeal to CGS, and following that to the departmental Chairperson. See Part A of this handbook for details of that process.

b. **Second-year evaluation:** Within the first few weeks of the spring semester, the faculty will hold a meeting devoted to a careful evaluation of the record of each second-year student and to deciding whether or not the student should continue in the PhD program. The DS area faculty will have met previously and will be prepared to provide a review of all aspects of the student's record with particular emphasis on research performance. The DS Area Coordinator will make a motion to retain the student, to terminate the student, or to place the student on probation. For students who have failed to turn in the research report without obviously disruptive mitigating circumstances, the DS Area Coordinator or the Coordinator of Graduate Studies will make an automatic motion to terminate.

The aim of this evaluation is the timely identification of students who would likely be unable to meet our expectations at later stages of graduate training. Students who pass this evaluation will be assured of our confidence in their ability to successfully complete the program.

A student who is terminated from the PhD program at this or any other time may transfer to the MA program and remain there through the end of the subsequent term (semester or summer session) if necessary in order to satisfy the requirements for a Master's degree.

c. **Masters degree:** Students who have passed the second-year review and are continuing on to completion of the PhD are eligible to receive an MA degree, though it is not necessary for the PhD. A student who is terminated from the PhD program at the time of the second-year review (or any other time) may transfer to the MA program and remain in that program.
through the end of the subsequent term (semester or summer session) if necessary in order to satisfy the requirements for a Master's degree. Master’s degree requirements through the DS training area include the minimum number of hours and their distribution required by the Graduate College, the general requirements described in Part A of this handbook, and the course requirements specified above.
HEALTH PSYCHOLOGY TRAINING AREA

1. General focus
The Health Psychology (HP) program is concerned with the application of psychological theory, methods and treatment to the understanding and promotion of physical health and illness. Two tracks are available: (1) students may obtain a PhD in Health Psychology; or (2) students may obtain a PhD in another training area (e.g., Clinical Psychology), with a minor in Health Psychology (see below for additional information). Our perspective is based on the biopsychosocial model which posits that biological, psychological and social processes are integrally and interactively involved in physical health and illness. The program offers training in a number of areas, including stress and illness, patient adherence, psychoneuroimmunology, animal models of hypertension and heart failure, cardiovascular psychophysiology and pathophysiology, postpartum depression, medical treatment-seeking, psychosocial risk factors of physical disease, adaptation to chronic illness and psycho-oncology.

2. Faculty
The primary HP faculty are Susan Lutgendorf (area coordinator), A. Kim Johnson, Mark Van der Weg, Alan Christensen, Michael O’Hara, Jason Radley, Ryan LaLumiere, and Michelle Voss.

3. Research Requirements
a. Research Advisory Committee and meetings: In consultation with his or her advisor, new students must establish a Research Advisory Committee (RAC) that is comprised of the student’s advisor and two other faculty members. At least two of the three members of the RAC must be primary faculty in the Health training area. The other member can be from the department of Psychology or another department.

   Students must meet with their RAC at least once every semester until the RAC is replaced by the PhD committee.

b. First-year project and report: By the Monday following Thanksgiving break of their second year, students must turn in to their RAC and the area coordinator a research progress report (in APA style) describing the research they have performed during their time in the graduate program. It is expected that at least one study will have been completed (including data analysis) by the time this report is due. If data collection is not complete, the document must clearly indicate how much is left to do, and must specify a timetable for completion of the project. The report should describe the completed research in full and should be as close as possible in style and quality to empirical papers published in peer-reviewed Health Psychology journals.

c. Graduate Research Symposium: HP students must present their first-year project at the Graduate Research Symposium, which is held early in the spring semester of their second year.

d. Comprehensive examination: Because of the importance of funding for health psychology students, the comprehensive exam for HP students will consist of the completion of an NRSA application. A research proposal written in the form of a NRSA pre-doctoral grant application, containing all sections needed for submission. This NRSA is due on October 15 of the student’s fifth semester. Faculty help and feedback can be utilized.

   Students must defend the NRSA application before a comprehensive examination committee comprised of five faculty, the majority of whom are members of the HP area, to discuss the contents and answer questions about the grant proposal, and receive feedback for improving the proposal. This meeting should be held by November 1 of the student’s fifth semester. This feedback can then be incorporated in the submission of the proposal which should be done by the December NRSA deadline.
At the conclusion of the meeting, the committee will make a decision of Pass, Near-Pass (reservations), or Failure. (Near-Pass will require some remediation/revisions.)

e. **Prospectus and Dissertation:** When a student begins to plan a dissertation project, his or her Research Advisory Committee should be replaced with a PhD Committee comprised of at least five members of the University of Iowa graduate faculty. At least three members of the committee must hold an appointment of at least 40% in the Psychology Department. The chair or co-chair of the committee must be a member of the Psychology Department. The PhD committee is responsible for evaluating the student’s prospectus, for providing advice while the student conducts the dissertation research, and for evaluating the dissertation at the final examination. The committee is initially selected by the student, in consultation with his or her advisor, but final approval occurs just prior to the final examination and rests with the Dean of the Graduate College (final approval typically occurs automatically when the student files a request to hold the final examination). At any time prior to final approval, the student may, in consultation with his or her advisor, change the membership of the PhD Committee.

Typically a prospectus describing plans for the dissertation should be defended by the end of the 7th semester.

There are several alternative paths to the dissertation, allowed with approval of the training area and the mentor:

**Option 1.** The traditional dissertation where the student writes a prospectus with the expectation that most of the data collection is to come. The PhD prospectus is a proposal that describes the student’s intended dissertation project. It typically includes the background and rationale for the project, the hypotheses to be tested, the design of the project, the data analyses that will be performed, and the anticipated pattern of results. It is often advisable for the prospectus to include pilot data. A PhD committee typically evaluates a prospectus in the context of a Prospectus Meeting, which is attended by the student and ordinarily by all members of the PhD Committee. A prospectus will be considered approved when all or all but one of the committee members have approved it. Committee members will indicate their approval or disapproval by initialing a departmental form, which will be filed with the Departmental Secretary. Approval may require multiple rounds of revisions and multiple meetings with the committee. On occasion, research that the student has completed may be included as part (although not all) of the anticipated dissertation. In those cases, however, the student will need to structure the prospectus and the dissertation in a way that reflects a conceptually and methodologically cohesive product. For this type of dissertation, if the student successfully completes the proposed work, they will pass, even if the experiments are not successful.

**Option 2.** A set of 3 manuscripts with substantial introduction and discussion sections describing the broader implications of the work, how the papers cohere, and how they fit with a larger research agenda. The introduction should situate the work within the larger literature and would make explicit the theoretical motivation of the research. The discussion should discuss the implications of the research as a whole and connections between the individual studies. The three papers must be devoted to similar topics and form a coherent set of research. The work must have been driven by the student. Papers that reflect direct implementation of the advisor’s research agenda would not be eligible. One of the papers must have been already accepted in a high quality, peer-reviewed journal. The papers must appear in high quality, peer-reviewed journals. Publications without peer review (e.g., book chapters) or only minimal peer review (e.g., many Frontiers-style outlets) would not be eligible. The other 2 papers must be complete and judged by the committee to be publishable in a high quality peer reviewed journal. For this model the prospectus could be a several page introduction, with the use of 1-2 papers as
methods/preliminary data/results section, and several pages to outline future work. The prospectus should generally be defended before all the manuscripts have been completed.

Option 3. This approach is a combination of options 1 and 2. In this option, the student has completed 1-2 papers of their own independent research agenda and is proposing to do a final experiment or two that is a capstone piece of research. Here the prospectus would be defending this additional experiment and demonstrating how it fits in with the other completed papers. This option would include a traditional length introduction and discussion.

4. Course Requirements

Course work is intended to provide students with a foundation of background and skills that they need to be effective in their professional lives. For graduate work, passing a course entails receiving a grade of B- or better. See Part A of this handbook for information regarding requirements for training in the responsible conduct of research, and overall credit and course-load requirements. The following information concerns requirements that are specific to students in the HP training area.

a. Psychology in Medical Settings: All entering students are required to take PSY:#350/031:350 Psychology in Medical Settings (1 semester hour). This course will be modular in nature and will be taught by core faculty. Modules will cover interaction with medical patients, medical ethics, and collaboration with hospital personnel and other allied health professionals. The Clinical area introductory seminar may be substituted for this requirement with permission.

b. Content Courses: Students in HP training area must take at least four courses from the following list:
   - PSY:6750/031:236 Fundamentals of Clinical Behavioral Neuroscience
   - PSY:5710/031:250 Introduction to Health and Behavioral Sciences
   - PSY:6050/031:252 Clinical Behavioral Medicine
   - PSY:6210/031:230 Behavioral Psychopharmacology
   - PSY:7030/031:370 Seminar in Health Psychology
   - PSY:7210/031:338 Seminar in BCN (Stress Neurobiology)

c. Readings Course: No later than fifth semester, but preferably in the fourth semester, each student must complete a 2 semester-hour readings course devoted to a particular physical disease or health psychology topic. The sustained reading and thought should culminate in a research proposal written in the form of a NRSA grant application used by the National Institutes of Health which will serve as the comprehensive exam (see above).

d. Breadth: Before completion of the PhD, each student in the HP area must pass at least 1 course (at least 3 s.h.) outside of the Health training area. The aim of these courses, whether departmental or extra-departmental, is to broaden the student's understanding of the field of psychology and/or complement his or her chosen field of research. HP students are encouraged to take a course from another department as a breadth course; any course used for this purpose requires approval by both the student's advisor and the training area coordinator.

e. Statistics: Students in HP are required to establish competence in statistics equivalent to that obtained by successful completion of PSY:5050/031:245 Quantitative Methods in Psychology or BIOS:5110/171:161 Introduction to Biostatistics, and PSQF:6244/07P:244 Correlation and Regression, or BIOS:5120/171:162 Design & Analysis of Biomedical Studies or PSY:5055/031:247 Mixed-Effects Modeling in Psychology; a third advanced course in an area relevant to the student’s research goals and interests (e.g., Structural
Equation Modeling, Meta-analysis, Longitudinal Design) is strongly recommended. Students who wish to deviate from this sequence must receive the approval of the HP training area.

f. **Other requirements:** Students in HP are expected to attend the HP brown bag series (journal club and research colloquia) on a regular basis and make a presentation once a year.

g. **Typical course of study:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fall Semester</th>
<th>Spring Semester</th>
<th>Summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1st year</strong></td>
<td>4sh Quantitative Methods</td>
<td>4sh Correlation and Regression</td>
<td>3sh HP Course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3sh HP Course</td>
<td>(or) Design &amp; Analysis of Biomedical Studies</td>
<td>5sh Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3sh Breadth Course</td>
<td>(or) Mixed-Effects Modeling in Psychology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1sh Psych in Medical Settings</td>
<td>1sh Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1sh Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2nd year</strong></td>
<td>4sh Experimental Design</td>
<td>3sh HP Course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3sh HP Course</td>
<td>3sh Breadth Course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5sh Research</td>
<td>6sh Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3rd year</strong></td>
<td>3sh Breadth Course</td>
<td>3sh Elective Course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1sh Readings</td>
<td>3sh Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2sh Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4th year</strong></td>
<td>3sh Research</td>
<td>3sh Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Other**

a. **Masters degree:** Students who have passed the second-year review and are continuing on to completion of the PhD are eligible to receive an MA degree, though it is not necessary for the PhD. A student who is terminated from the PhD program at the time of the second-year review (or any other time) may transfer to the MA program and remain in that program through the end of the subsequent term (semester or summer session) if necessary in order to satisfy the requirements for a Master's degree. Master’s degree requirements through the Health training area include the minimum number of hours and their distribution required by the Graduate College, the general requirements described in Part A of this handbook, the minimum coursework required by the Health area as specified above and a positive evaluation of the first year project paper and defense conducted following completion of the paper, which is due the Monday after Thanksgiving.

b. **Health Psychology as a secondary track:** Students who wish to pursue Health Psychology as a secondary area are required to take a minimum of three of the HP courses listed above. In addition, these students are required to take a minimum of 6 semester hours of research devoted to a health psychology topic.
SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY TRAINING AREA

1. General Focus

The Social Psychology (SP) area is broadly concerned with how different individuals function in, respond, and adapt to their social and physical environment. The program offers training in a number of areas, including social cognition, judgment processes, social and emotional development, parenting, attitudes, decision making, prejudice and stigma, moral judgment, compassion and altruism, affect and emotions, close relationships, and individual differences.

2. Faculty

The primary faculty members in the SP area are Grazyna Kochanska (area coordinator), Daryl Cameron, Jason Clark, Rebecca Neel, Andrew Todd, and Paul Windschitl. Erika Lawrence and Teresa Treat are secondary faculty members. Meara Habashi is a lecturer, and Steve Duck (Communication Studies) is a faculty member affiliated with the Department.

3. Research Requirements

a. Research Advisory Committee and meetings: In consultation with their advisor, new students must establish a Research Advisory Committee (RAC) that is composed of the advisor and two other department faculty members no later than one month after the beginning of the student’s first term. Students must meet with their RACs once a semester until the student has formed a PhD committee.

b. First-year project and report: SP students must complete a first-year research project that includes an empirical component. A written report in APA style describing that research is due to the student’s RAC and the SP area coordinator by the second Monday following Thanksgiving break during the student’s second year in the program. This report should include the scientific rationale of the project and the methods used, as well as any results obtained to date and what they mean. If data collection is not complete, the document must clearly indicate how much is left to do and include a timetable for completion.

c. Graduate Research Symposium: SP students must present their first-year project at the Graduate Research Symposium, which is held early in the spring semester of their second year.

d. Comprehensive examination: SP students are required to take the comprehensive examination between their second and third years in the program. The comprehensive examination is intended to give students an opportunity to synthesize major papers they have encountered in their coursework, to demonstrate their skills in assessing and creatively approaching social psychological theory and methods, and to generate a novel line of research. The comprehensive exam includes three components: (1) an in-house exam, (2) a written proposal, and (3) an oral defense.

(1) The in-house exam will be administered one to three weeks prior to the start of the fall semester. It is designed to assess knowledge of research and theory in social psychology as represented through various SP area courses. It will mainly consist of questions corresponding to all courses that a given student has taken to fulfill the SP area requirements (selected from a pool of questions provided by SP faculty). By the end of exam week in spring semester, students will receive a reading list(s) assembled by the faculty. This reading list will mainly consist of empirical articles, theoretical papers, and literature reviews reflecting content covered in courses that have been designated to fulfill the SP area requirements.

The exam itself will be closed-book and will be conducted on a provided computer in an arranged room. The exam will last four to six hours and will consist of two separate sessions within a single day. At the start of each session, students will be provided with a list of two
to eight questions and will be required to answer a subset of these items. Responses to each question will be graded by two SP faculty members on a 4-point scale (4 = outstanding, 3 = acceptable, 2 = marginal, and 1 = unacceptable). Scores across the total number of required answers will be averaged, and performance on this in-house exam will correspond to 50% of a student’s total pre-defense grade.

(2) The written proposal will be due exactly one week following the in-house exam. No late proposals will be accepted. Students must submit a proposal that demonstrates their ability to integrate research literatures, develop novel conceptual and/or empirical ideas, and effectively communicate this intellectual advance. The proposal should follow APA-style conventions and contain 20-30 double-spaced pages of main text (not including the title page, abstract, references, figures, tables, notes, and appendices). Margins should be 1” and font 12-point Times New Roman.

This proposal should be aimed at some phenomenon of interest to social psychologists and may take one of two general forms: (a) a coherent set of proposed new empirical investigations or, in some laboratories, an investigation that is a meaningful longitudinal extension of an ongoing study and that adds a set of conceptually significant measures; (b) a conceptual examination that presents some new theoretical insight. Regardless of which option a student chooses, the following criteria must be met: The work must be done independently (i.e., without input from faculty or fellow students); it must advance a novel intellectual contribution; it must integrate literature from at least two distinct research domains reflected in courses a student has taken to fulfill the SP area requirement; and it must not have been previously developed, designed, or conducted in any form beyond the scope of the current comprehensive exam procedures.

A total of three SP faculty members will grade a given proposal on a 4-point scale (4 = outstanding, 3 = acceptable, 2 = marginal, and 1 = unacceptable). Scores from the three evaluators will be averaged, and performance on the written proposal will account for 50% of a student’s total pre-defense grade.

3. The oral defense. If eligible, students will have the opportunity to orally defend the first two components of the comprehensive exam. To determine eligibility, a student’s overall score on the in-house and written portion will be averaged. The resulting average must be at least 2.25 to qualify for oral defense. Additionally, for each portion, the score must be higher than 1. If a student does not meet this threshold, the student may be granted an additional but final opportunity to take the in-house and/or written proposal components during the following year as part of the regularly scheduled comprehensive exam procedures. The SP faculty will determine whether the student will be allowed to retake one or both parts. If the student is allowed this option, SP faculty will further specify whether one or both parts need to be redone (during the next year’s cycle). The student would be required to answer a new set of in-house exam questions and/or submit a new written proposal that must differ substantially from the previous submission.

If only one part is redone, the score that was already satisfactory on the first attempt will be averaged with the new score on the other part. This average must pass the 2.25 threshold. The score for each retaken portion must be higher than 1.

If a student is eligible for the oral defense, he/she must schedule a 2-hour defense meeting for no later than 30 days after receiving his/her scores on the first two components. The scheduled time must work for all SP faculty who plan to attend. A faculty committee consisting of the student’s primary advisor and at least three other SP area faculty members will convene to ask questions of the student. The defense will primarily be used to clarify answers on the in-house exam, expound upon aspects of the written proposal, and/or assess the student’s ability to converse about other topics in social psychology. After the oral defense, a pass or fail determination for the entire comprehensive examination will be made on the basis of performance in all three components. If a student is deemed to have failed,
then all three components must be retaken (assuming this option is granted by the SP area faculty). The components can be retaken only once more, and this must occur during the following year as part of the regularly scheduled comprehensive exam procedures. The student would be required to answer a new set of in-house exam questions, submit a new written proposal that differs substantially from the previous submission, and conduct another oral defense (if eligible).

e. **Ph.D. Committee, prospectus, and dissertation:** When a student begins to plan a dissertation project, his or her Research Advisory Committee should be replaced with a PhD Committee. This committee consists of at least five members of the University of Iowa graduate faculty. At least three members of the committee must be from the SP faculty and hold an appointment of at least 40% in the Psychology Department. The chair of the committee must be a faculty member of the Psychology Department. SP students are expected to defend their PhD prospectus by Spring break of their 4th year. Otherwise, a written explanation for the delay needs to be submitted to the area coordinator.

The PhD committee is responsible for evaluating the student’s prospectus, for providing advice while the student conducts the dissertation research, and for evaluating the dissertation at the final examination. The committee is initially selected by the student, in consultation with his or her advisor, but final approval occurs just prior to the final examination and rests with the Dean of the Graduate College (final approval typically occurs automatically when the student files a request to hold the final examination). At any time prior to final approval, the student may, in consultation with his or her advisor, change the membership of the PhD Committee.

The PhD prospectus is a proposal that describes the student’s intended dissertation project. It typically includes the background and rationale for the project, the hypotheses to be tested, the design of the project, the data analyses that will be performed, and the anticipated pattern of results. It is often advisable for the prospectus to include pilot data.

On occasion, research that the student has completed may be included as part (although not all) of the anticipated dissertation. In those cases, however, the student will need to structure the prospectus and the dissertation in a way that reflects a conceptually and methodologically cohesive product. The internal SP document describes in greater detail the requirements regarding the dissertation.

A PhD committee typically evaluates a prospectus in the context of a Prospectus Meeting, which is attended by the student and ordinarily by all members of the PhD Committee. A prospectus will be considered approved when all or all but one of the committee members have approved it. Committee members will indicate their approval or disapproval by initialing a departmental form, which will be filed with the Departmental Secretary. Approval may require multiple rounds of revisions and multiple meetings with the committee.

The time required to complete a dissertation after approval of the prospectus varies, but students should typically plan for at least one year between prospectus approval and completion of the dissertation.

f. **Dissertation defense:** A formal request for the PhD final exam must be submitted through the SP area coordinator and the department Chairperson to the Graduate College at least three weeks in advance of the exam. The student is responsible for getting a copy of the dissertation to the Committee members at least two weeks in advance of the exam. The exam is an oral defense of the dissertation that includes critical questions about the purpose, method, and results presented in the dissertation and intense questioning on areas of knowledge consistent with the context of the dissertation. The exam is unsatisfactory if two Committee members rate it to be so. In this case, the exam may be repeated once on the recommendation of the Committee and approval of the SP faculty.
4. Course Requirements

Coursework is intended to provide students with a foundation of background and skills that they need to be effective in their professional lives. For graduate work, passing a course entails receiving a grade of B- or better. See Part A of this handbook for information regarding requirements for training in the responsible conduct of research and overall credit and course-load requirements. The following information concerns requirements that are specific to students in the SP training area.

SP students range broadly in their interests and may be involved in very diverse research programs. Consequently, the faculty in the SP area adopt a flexible approach to the graduate training and are open to adjustments and changes for individual students. In each case, a student’s training schedule will be designed in his or her best interest, to optimize scholarly progress and future competitiveness on the job market. Decisions about specific changes (e.g., replacing one or more SP courses with courses from another area) will be made together by the student’s mentor, RAC, and the student. The student will then petition the area faculty for permission regarding the changes. Any petition regarding a specific course should be made prior to the start of that course. Immediately below is the summary of course requirements, followed by additional details and explanation.

Unless there are petitioned and approved changes, SP students must take the following:

a. Social Psychology seminar (1 s.h.) each semester when offered: All SP students are required to enroll in the 1-hour Social Psychology Seminar (PSY:7510/031:302) during their entire time in the program, whenever it is offered. This is a 1-credit course covering a wide range of professional and scholarly issues relevant for a career in the field of social psychology, broadly construed.

b. Five area courses in SP: Students must take five SP graduate courses—defined as courses or seminars on the list below or other courses offered by the primary faculty in SP. The student’s list of five SP courses must include Advanced Social Psychology, assuming it was offered within the student’s first two years in the program:

   PSY:6510/031:201 Advanced Social Psychology  
   PSY:6520/031:202 Attitudes and Persuasion  
   PSY:6530/031:206 Advanced Social Cognition  
   PSY:6550/031:211 Advanced Social and Personality Development  
   PSY:6040/031:240 Judgment and Decision Making  
   PSY:6560/031:257 Stereotyping, Prejudice, and Discrimination  
   PSY:7150/031:280 Current Topics in Psychology (e.g., Social Judgment and Decision Processes; Evolutionary Perspectives in Social Psychology; Moral Decision Making; Affect and Emotions; Stigma, Prejudice, and Stereotyping)  
   PSY:7530/031:315 Seminar in Social Development

c. Enrichment courses: Students must take two additional graduate courses that will enrich their growth as scholars—either through breadth or depth. Those two courses may be from any graduate faculty in the Department of Psychology (including SP) or in another department at the University of Iowa.

d. Statistics: Students in SP are required to establish competence in statistics equivalent to that obtained by successful completion of PSY:5050/031:245, Quantitative Methods in Psychology, followed by PSY:5055/031:247:001, Mixed-Effects Modeling in Psychology. Many or most students will take this specific two-course sequence. Others may take courses offered by other departments (e.g., courses on ANOVA and/or Correlation and Regression at
the graduate level). All students should discuss their plans for meeting the statistics requirement with their advisor and their RAC. Once approved by the RAC, documentation of the approval should be sent to the area coordinator. Many students will seek statistics education that goes beyond the formal requirements, either through additional coursework or specialized workshops (e.g., Structural Equation Modeling, meta-analysis, or conditional processes). This is encouraged.

5. Other

a. Second-year review: The second-year review process for the SP area consists of a meeting of the area faculty, soon after the Graduate Research Symposium, in which they discuss the progress of each of the second-year students in the program. The evaluation will be based on all aspects the student’s performance including course work, research, the first-year project and report, performance at the Graduate Research Symposium, performance in assistantships and engagement in program events such as brown bags and colloquia, and professional behavior. Input will be solicited from RAC members and instructors of courses that the student took. The goal of the second-year evaluation is to assess whether the student seems likely to be in a position to successfully complete the PhD program.

The SP faculty will make a recommendation that (1) the student continue on to the next stage of the program, (2) the student be placed on departmental probation and re-evaluated after a specified period of time during which he/she works to remediate whatever deficiencies were identified, or (3) the student be terminated from the program. If the recommendation is either for departmental probation or termination from the program, the report will be submitted to CGS and the department for approval and/or revision. The SP area coordinator will provide each student with a written communication (e.g., an email) about the outcome of the second-year review.

b. Master’s degree: Students who have passed the second-year review and are continuing on to completion of the PhD are eligible to receive an MA degree, though it is not necessary for the PhD. A student who is terminated from the PhD program at the time of the second-year review (or any other time) may transfer to the MA program and remain in that program through the end of the subsequent term (semester or summer session) if necessary in order to satisfy the requirements for an MA degree. MA degree requirements through the SP training area include the minimum number of hours and their distribution required by the Graduate College, the general requirements described in Part A of this handbook.

c. Brownbags and colloquia: As part of their graduate experience, SP students are expected to: (a) attend all SP area brownbags and talks and a majority of departmental colloquia during their time at Iowa, and (b) give a brownbag presentation at least once each year (as a student gets closer to his or her PhD prospectus, he or she is expected to present his or her ideas in the brownbag format).

d. Interdisciplinary experience: Students in SP are expected to engage in “interdisciplinary” activities that broaden their training. Those activities may take several forms, and students are encouraged to pursue as many of these as fit within their time and training goals. Students are expected to be prepared to present their proposed activities to their mentors and discuss them with their RAC members.

Examples:
- Additional course(s)
- Being involved in the lab of a faculty member other than the mentor
- Taking an in-depth specialized workshop outside of the University of Iowa
- Co-authoring a paper with a faculty member other than a mentor (at the University of Iowa or elsewhere)
- Attending a summer institute on a specialized topic (e.g., SPSP Summer Institute in Social Psychology, a pre-conference workshop at SRCD)
PART C: A GUIDE TO STUDENT LIFE

1. Organization of the Department

a. Department Chairperson: The department Chairperson (known as the Departmental Executive Officer, or DEO, throughout the University) is appointed by the Dean of the College of Liberal Arts after consultation with the department faculty. The Chair has general executive responsibility for all aspects of the departmental enterprise.

b. Associate Chairperson: The Associate Chairperson is nominated by the Chairperson and confirmed by vote of the faculty. Associate Chairperson assists the Chairperson in various administrative activities and fills in for Chairperson when he or she is absent or unavailable.

c. Department faculty: The faculty of the department include those individuals holding active tenure-track academic appointments whose base salary is established at least in part by explicit action of the department Chairperson. The faculty, acting collectively in duly announced faculty meetings, recommend faculty appointments and promotions and develop and approve proposals for changes in departmental curricula, objectives, organization, and policies.

Late in the spring of each academic year, the graduate students will elect two representatives who will attend faculty meetings in the subsequent year as non-voting members. Student representatives are welcome to participate in all aspects of faculty discussion, except those involving personnel matters or other graduate students.

d. Faculty Advisory Committee: Three members of the faculty serve as the Faculty Advisory Committee, which meets frequently with the Chairperson to exchange views on all matters of concern to the present and future well-being of the department. The members are elected to three-year terms by ballot vote of the faculty. The “Extended Faculty Advisory Committee” includes these three members plus other departmental officers such as the Coordinator of Undergraduate Studies and the Director of Graduate Studies.

e. Training Areas and Coordinators: The graduate program is organized into training areas. Each area is supervised by a training area coordinator who is nominated by the area faculty and appointed by the department Chairperson. The training area recommends student assistantship assignments, sets area curriculum requirements and comprehensive examinations, and monitors student progress and performance. The present training areas are described in Part B: Area-Specific Information.

f. Committees on and Coordinators of Graduate and Undergraduate Studies: The Chairperson is assisted by a Coordinator of Graduate Studies and by a Coordinator of Undergraduate Studies. The Coordinator of Graduate Studies is supported by the Committee on Graduate Studies, which is made up of the coordinators of each of the several training areas. The Coordinator of Undergraduate Studies is supported by the Committee on Undergraduate Studies, which includes at least three other faculty members. The two coordinators and the members of the two committees are nominated each year by the Chairperson and confirmed by vote of the faculty.

g. Graduate Student Advisory Committee: The Graduate Student Advisory Committee is established each year to meet periodically with the Coordinator of Graduate Studies, and as necessary with the Chairperson, to exchange views on matters of mutual concern. This committee also works with the Coordinator of Graduate Studies to help with the organization and conducting of events at the new-student interview weekend that is held in the early part of each Spring semester and with the new-student orientation that is held prior to the beginning of each Fall semester. The committee comprises one continuing student from each
training area, plus one student from any area selected from the entering class. The members of the committee are to be selected by the groups they represent.

Elections will ordinarily be held late in the spring semester; the representative of the first year class is to be selected within two weeks following registration. If by that time student representatives have not been identified, the department Chairperson will designate appropriate individuals.

The Graduate Resources Committee: The Graduate Resources Committee consists of up to three graduate students who administer various resources that are used solely or primarily by graduate students. These students are elected each year from the entire set of continuing graduate students. Elections will be held at the same time as the elections for the Graduate Student Advisory Committee.

The department has two service committees, Technical Support and Animal Welfare. Each committee includes three or four faculty members, one of whom serves as chairperson, and one graduate student. The faculty members are nominated each year by the department Chairperson for confirmation by the faculty. The Graduate Student Advisory Committee will, in consultation with the Chairperson, identify a graduate student representative for each of these committees. Committee terms will be for one academic year, but individuals may be reappointed.

2. Financial Support

a. General policies: Insofar as available funds permit, it is the policy of the department to provide or arrange financial assistance during the academic year for each graduate student who is in good standing in the PhD program through at least five years. Whether financial support will be provided during additional years is determined by the Chairperson, acting on a recommendation from the training area coordinator through the Coordinator of Graduate Studies.

Just as advanced students are responsible for their own rate of progress on the dissertation, they are also responsible for obtaining their own financial support beyond the five years that the department guarantees.

b. Summer support: Given the essentially continuous character of graduate training and research activity, the department tries to provide students in good standing with some stipend for the two-month summer session. Such support cannot be guaranteed and may be less than two-ninths of the academic year level. Sometime before the end of the spring semester, each student will be advised as to what can be offered for the coming summer.

c. Sources of support: Student support funds under the direct control of the department come from the College of Liberal Arts, from the Graduate College, at times from federally-supported training grants, and from project grants awarded to individual faculty members. Occasionally, opportunities arise for advanced students to serve as part-time instructors in the Extension Program, or in the Evening Class Program, or in the regular teaching program of the department. A student may be supported for a semester or two by another unit of the University or by a local agency. Because such arrangements may have direct bearing on student progress and may also have implications for departmental policies, each one must be considered by the training area faculty and by the Coordinator of Graduate Studies.

It is expected that a student considering a support opportunity outside the department will discuss the possibility with the advisor and with the area coordinator well before any commitment is made.

d. Conditions of appointments: Appointments to assistantships or traineeships are for a fixed period, usually one semester but sometimes for longer or shorter periods. Academic year appointments run from the week before classes begin in the fall through the end of finals in
the spring. Summer appointments are for the duration of the eight-week summer session (or for some other interval in the summer as determined by the source of funding); annual appointments may begin at any time. All graduate assistants receive normal University holidays and two weeks of vacation per year for academic-year appointments or three weeks of vacation per year for annual appointments. The procedure for determining when vacation may be taken is to be specified when the assistantship is offered.

Graduate assistants are professional employees, which means that the number of hours worked in a given week depends on what is required to satisfactorily perform the duties of the position. However, over the term of an appointment, the number of hours worked should average about twenty hours per week for a 50% appointment and proportionately more or less for greater or lesser appointment percentages. At the beginning of the term of appointment, the supervisor should spell out his or her expectations for how the hours to be worked will be allocated. If the requirements of the job to be done turn out to deviate significantly from these expectations, then new expectations should be set by mutual agreement, if possible. In case of disagreement, the supervisor has the final responsibility for making such decisions but the student may appeal following the procedures of section A.6.b of the Handbook or may file a grievance as specified by University policies and by employment contracts governing graduate assistantships.

Renewal of an appointment for a subsequent period depends on the collective judgment of the faculty concerning the student's performance, progress, and professional conduct. All renewals are contingent on the continued availability of funds for student support.

e. Terminations during the term of an appointment: A graduate student on an assistantship or traineeship may be dismissed during the term of that appointment because of loss of student status. A graduate student also may be dismissed from an assistantship or traineeship appointment during the term of the appointment, without necessarily losing student status, for 1) any reason sufficient to dismiss a faculty member during the term of an appointment, or 2) failure to follow or implement properly and adequately reasonable instructions of the supervisor when such instructions are within the proper scope of the supervisor's duties. Procedures governing termination of an appointment for either of these two reasons are described in Part III, Chapter 12.4 of the University Operations Manual.


f. Tax status: Federal and state regulations control the withholding of income tax from money paid to students on assistantships, traineeships, fellowships, etc. The tax status of these payments is subject to interpretation by the Internal Revenue Service. Each individual taxpayer, of course, bears the responsibility for filing appropriate income tax reports. At the request of an individual student, the department will provide clarifying information about the payments the student has received, and a statement of the participation requirement for graduate students in the PhD program.

Faculty and staff members in the department cannot—indeed are not permitted to—give tax advice to any individual student or to any group of students, or to offer any assurances about the taxability of payments from any particular source or for any particular purpose.

3. Student Responsibilities

Each student in good standing in the PhD program, regardless of their source of support, is expected as an integral part of graduate training to participate in the research, teaching, and service activities of the department.

a. Research activities: Each student in the PhD program must be actively engaged in research at all times. Initially, this is likely to involve collaborating on research that is directly within
the advisor’s ongoing research program. More advanced students will develop their own research programs, although this may still involve the advisor and other faculty and students as collaborators. Some of this research will be used to satisfy formal degree requirements, but these particular projects will normally grow out of the student’s continuing research activities. The requirement of continual research engagement applies to students whether or not they are presently working to satisfy a specific degree research requirement.

In addition to the student's own program of research, he or she may participate in research assistantship (RA) activities during some semesters. These activities are intended to facilitate the research progress and productivity of the faculty member with whom the student is working. Research assistantship activities are also intended to give the student additional direct and continuing experience in the actual research process from formulation of the study, through collection and analysis of data, to preparation of a scholarly report. The time involvement, averaging 20 hours per week, will vary substantially during the course of the semester. No formal time records are maintained; the student is expected to see that the commitment to this activity is satisfied. Time spent on assistantship activities is to be distinguished from time spent on the student's own research projects, including thesis or dissertation research, even though in many cases these activities may be closely related. Assignments to research assistantship positions are made by Principle Investigators on the grants that are providing the funds for the assistantship, and in some cases by the Coordinator of Graduate Studies based on the needs of individual faculty members and on the needs of the student for particular types of research training.

The department provides undergraduate students in our large introductory courses with opportunities to participate in research studies. Graduate students engaged in research studies in which undergraduate students participate have the responsibility to see that the experience provided to the participants is of genuine educational value.

All research involving human subjects must be reviewed and approved by the University’s Human Subjects Office. Student research projects require a faculty sponsor. For a description of the policies and procedures, see [http://www.vpr.uiowa.edu/hsr/](http://www.vpr.uiowa.edu/hsr/).

The department has no specific allocation of funds for student research, but, funds permitting, does try to help defray exceptional costs of materials, research participants, etc. which are entailed in student research projects. Each request must be considered individually but funds are limited and students and advisors are expected to plan with due regard for costs and to consult as early as possible with the Chairperson about availability of resources. The department has some limited resources for helping students attend certain professional meetings. Inquiries should be directed to the Departmental Administrator. In all cases, student requests must be supported by a faculty member and must be submitted well in advance.

b. **Teaching activities:** Students will have various opportunities to gain teaching experience: in teaching practica and workshops, area research series presentations, guest lectures in classes, and assignments to teaching assistantships in some semesters. Teaching assistantship (TA) assignments are worked out through consultation among the Coordinator of Graduate Studies, the area coordinators, the individual student, and all faculty members with whom the student may be working. Efforts are made to arrange TA assignments with due regard for other responsibilities the student may have. The time involvement, averaging 20 hours per week, varies substantially during the course of the semester. The student must see that the commitment to this activity is satisfied.

To be eligible for assignment to a teaching position, students must have suitable knowledge and teaching ability. Necessary teaching abilities are greater for discussion leaders than for graders and greater still for those serving as independent instructors. Admission into our PhD program is taken to certify a student as having the knowledge required for teaching general psychology courses, and admission into a training area similarly certifies the student
to have the knowledge required to TA any course taught by faculty members in that area. Satisfactory completion of the Department’s TA training requirements are taken to certify a student’s teaching ability. In all other cases, judgments of knowledge and ability will be made by the Coordinator of Graduate Studies, in consultation with other relevant faculty. These judgments will be based on many factors, including interviews, letters of recommendation, evaluations from previous teaching supervisors, student evaluations from previous teaching assignments, and specialized training in instruction.

Assignments to instructional positions in the Evening Class Program, the Extension Program, or in other units of the University require the explicit approval of the student’s advisor and the department Chairperson. Such assignments are available only to advanced students having appropriate experience and the remuneration involved is considered in establishing the total financial support to be received by the student.

c. Service activities: As a rule, all graduate students in residence are required to serve as examination proctors several times each semester. Graduate students also play an important role in the recruiting of new graduate students and are expected to assist the faculty in hosting visits from prospective students. Graduate students will also be asked occasionally to assist the department in handling special events, such as visits by faculty from other universities.

4. STUDENT PERFORMANCE AND PROGRESS

a. Student files: All students will have a cumulative file that records their progress through the program. These files are kept in the main departmental office. Students may review their file at any time. Tenure-line faculty may review any student’s file. Materials in the file will include, but are not limited to, the student’s original application to the program, confirmation of required meetings (e.g., RAC meetings), confirmation of completed requirements (e.g., comprehensive exams, prospectus defense, etc.), any processes related to the student that occurred (e.g., petition to waive a course requirement), end-of-year letters, and any ad hoc performance reports that were filed by a supervisor.

b. Assistantship activities: Assistantships are professional positions. The faculty supervisor will evaluate the students’ performance and provide input to general evaluation mechanisms, such as the end-of-year letter. In addition, ad hoc supplemental reports may be added to a student’s file. In such cases, the student will receive a copy and can submit a response that will be filed with the original report. Similarly, graduate students have the option of providing an evaluation of the supervision that they received during their TA/RA assignment. These evaluations will be given directly to the Chair and will be seen only by the Chair and the faculty member being evaluated. These can come in any format, but a sample evaluation form is available on the university internal website: http://www.psychology.uiowa.edu/internal.

c. Professional development: A student's progress toward the PhD is measured ultimately by the degree to which he or she becomes an independent professional scholar. Although this is difficult to quantify, it is vitally important that the student's advisor and Research Advisory Committee or PhD Committee take full advantage of their expertise to make judgments periodically about the student's professional development in order to provide effective guidance to the student in progressing toward this goal.